



Brussels, 18 April 2001  
p:\A2Staff\Peter\ECCP\Record9-final

**SUMMARY RECORD OF NINTH MEETING:  
ECCP WORKING GROUP 1  
4 APRIL 2001**

Present: see list attached (as Annex 1)

Chaired by Peter VIS (DG ENV.E1)

**1. Adoption of agenda**

The draft agenda was adopted without amendment.

**2. Adoption of the Summary Record of 8<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14 March 2001**

The draft record of the previous meeting was adopted with two minor amendments.

**3. Presentation by Mr Matti VAINIO (DG ENV.A1) of results of economic analysis study of sectoral objectives**

Mr Matti VAINIO (DG ENV.A1) made a presentation of the study "Economic Evaluation of Sectoral Emission Reduction Objectives for Climate Change". Further details of this analysis can be found at the following internet website:

[http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/environment/enveco/climate\\_change/sectoral\\_objectives.htm](http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/environment/enveco/climate_change/sectoral_objectives.htm)

The key objective of this study was to combine all available knowledge on abatement technologies and costs and determine which sector and gases would contribute how much in order to implement the EU commitment at least cost for Europe.

The key message of the presentation was that, even acknowledging the limitations of model-based economic analysis, some sectors were better placed to contribute more than others. Emissions trading as an instrument would allow all the sectors engaged in trading to benefit from having access to the cheapest reductions to fulfil the predetermined commitment. Furthermore, it was clear from the presentation that a flat-rate reduction across all sectors within each Member State (the "cheese-slicer" approach) would be very costly and inefficient. The slides

were distributed on paper, and additional paper copies can be obtained by contacting the Secretary.

Participants posed a number of questions, some relating to the PRIMES model and the baseline developed in the Shared Analysis project of DG TREN, on which these results for CO<sub>2</sub> were based. Mr VAINIO recalled that the model and the baseline had been subject to peer review by Member States experts.

The Chairman concluded the discussion by thanking the speaker for the good work that has been done over many months: it was the best analysis at a European level that was available at present and the first attempt to study in detail abatement possibilities and costs consistently across all six Kyoto gases and all sectors in all Member States. The underlying message was that the EU would – literally – be the poorer without “smart measures” that take account of the differing costs of abatement opportunities in different sectors. From this perspective, emissions trading across the EU stands out as one of the smartest measures available.

**4. First exchange of views on draft final report**

A lengthy debate took place on the content of the draft final report, with many drafting changes being agreed. In some cases, the Chairman was mandated to revise the text along lines discussed. The format of the draft Report was acceptable, subject to minor amendments. However, it was agreed that where there were persistent disagreements, these should not be hidden but should be specifically addressed in the report as areas on which views remained divergent and where more work would be warranted.

**5. Continuation of exchange of views on Background Documents 6-rev1 “Elements to be made compatible for the linking of national schemes and the linking of national schemes with an EU scheme” & Background Document 7-rev1 “Monitoring, reporting, verification and compliance”**

Due to lack of time, these revised documents were not discussed. They would nevertheless be posted on the Commission’s website entitled as “Chairman’s Background Documents” in order to enable people to better understand the records of the meeting at which they had been discussed. Posting on the website did not imply that all members of the Working group agreed with these documents in their entirety.

**6. Any other business**

The date of next meeting, which would be the last, was confirmed as taking place on 2 May 2001 (10h00 until business is concluded). The meeting will adopt the Working Group’s Final Report.

It will take place in Room 0/C at the offices of DG Environment: 5, Avenue de Beaulieu, B-1160 Brussels.

Peter VIS  
Principal Administrator  
Secretary to Working Group 1

**Annex 1****ECCP Working Group 1****Ninth meeting: 4 April 2001**

<i>Commission</i>	
Chairman: Mr Peter VIS	DG ENV E1
Mr Matthieu WEMAERE	DG ENV E1
Mr Hugh PULLEN	DG ENV E1
Mr Matti VAINIO	DG ENV A1
Mr Peter ZAPFEL	DG ENV A1
Mr Mark HAYDEN	DG ECFIN E4
Mr Haakan KARLSTROM	DG TREN A3
Ms Anna SOLE MENA	DG ENTR E2
Ms Katri KOSONEN	DG RTD
<i>National experts</i>	
Ms Gertraud WOLLANSKY	Ministry of Environment, Austria
Ms Sylviane GASTALDO	Ministry of Environment, France
Mr Dietrich BROCKHAGEN	Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature, Conservation and Nuclear Safety, Germany
Mr Niklas JOHANSSON	Swedish National Energy Administration
Ms Asa LEANDER	Swedish National Energy Administration
Ms Rhian HAWKINS	DETR – UK
Mr Paul CHAMBERS	DETR – UK
<i>Industry</i>	
Mr Jean-Yves CANEILL	EURELECTRIC
Ms Rose DE LANNOY	EURELECTRIC
Ms Margaret MOGFORD	UK ETG

Dr Joachim HEIN	BDI – Germany
Mr Bertil HEERINK	CEFIC
Mr Chris BOYD	ERT/ Lafarge
Dr Mike WRIGLESWORTH	UNICE
Mr Francesco BALOCCO	IFIEC
Mr Bill KYTE	ETG – UK
<i>Environmental NGOs</i>	
Mr Mark KENBER	WWF
Mr Jürgen LEFEVERE	FIELD
Mr Rob BRADLEY	CNE
Mr Matthias DUWE	CNE

*29 participants*