

Conclusions

Compliance Forum Event 7th and 8th February 2012



- Good regulation is half the story good guidance is also required
- With the aim that guidance communicates requirements as simply, efficiently and effectively as possible
- That guidance does not imply new requirements but supports the implementation of the M&R and A&V Regulations



- With guidance that that promotes common understanding and encourages greater efficiency and fairness, that improves effectiveness and clarifies the responsibilities of each of the actors
- That guidance should be based on existing material to the extent possible, taking into account timely contributions from Member States and Compliance Forum representatives and have the Commission supervising, coordinating, promoting and stimulating a simplified process



- That the general principles of completeness, consistency, comparability, transparency, accuracy, integrity of methodology and continuous improvement should apply to the guidance
- That IT systems can play an important role in the compliance process to achieve these principles and to ensure the cost effectiveness of the requirements for monitoring, reporting, verification and accreditation of verifiers



- With the need to encourage greater use of the Eurocontrol Support Facility, including in relation to the Simplified Emission Tool, with view to simplifying compliance and promoting further reductions in overall costs.
- With the need to make further improvements including the efficient and effective identification of appropriate aircraft operators to avoid leakage



- With the priorities and timelines for the deliverables presented in Sessions I and II, and that especially the Task Force M&R is invited to provide specific dates for their deliverables
- With the need to find proper channels to share knowledge, experience and best practices; all with the aim of making compliance simpler, more efficient and more effective in the third trading period, starting with necessary submission and approval of monitoring plans in time for the 1st January 2013



- That a network of cooperation and information exchange should be established between the European Cooperation for Accreditation, Accreditation Bodies, the Competent Authorities and verifiers
- With the potential establishment of a new and separate Task Force for verification and accreditation under the Compliance Forum



 With the need to find proper channels to share knowledge, experience and best practices as well as the expertise and application of IT systems, all with the aim of making Compliance Simpler, more Efficient and more Effective in the third trading period



Do you agree:

- With the remarks and questions raised by Tomasz Karpinski, namely:
- ✓ The need to bring together ideas and national experiences concerning coordination between EU ETS actors, starting with CAs, as a way to contribute to a more efficient and effective compliance process
- ✓ To what extent do we need guidance at the EU level on cooperation to facilitate the process of implementation



 That the guidance should allow easy communication with other stakeholders such as operators and aircraft operators; the guidance should aim at clear and comprehensive communication



- With the need to update Article 21 reporting to the new requirements for the third trading periods
- With the need for continued evaluation of the implementation of EU ETS MRV & accreditation requirements
- That peer review can be an effective instrument provided that more experience is acquired and that the convenient timing can be agreed



Compliance Forum Event, Brussels 7-8 February 2012

Compliance Challenges for 2013 and Beyond Making it Simpler, more Efficient and more Effective

GOOD REGULATION IS HALF THE STORY - GOOD GUIDANCE IS ALSO REQUIRED