

Main Developments in the M&R Regulation

Rob Gemmill, DG CLIMA

Compliance Forum Event, Compliance Challenges for 2013 and Beyond: Making it Simpler, more Efficient, more Effective, Brussels, 7-8 February 2012



Overview

- Summary of some of the more significant developments under the proposed M&R Regulation (MRR)
- Attention regarding immediate challenges for MS for 2013 and beyond
- Note of potential priorities for guidance
- Guidance is required to support simpler, more efficient and more effective implementation of the MRR

GOOD REGULATION IS HALF THE STORY - GOOD GUIDANCE ALSO REQUIRED



MRR compared to the MRG

- Clarification of areas of confusion
- Requirements encouraging greater consistency
- Requirements encouraging greater efficiency
- Requirements encouraging greater fairness
- Simplifications to improve cost-effectiveness
- Approaches that have worked well are kept
- Reduction of duplications
- Clearer identification of responsibilities
- Need for supplementary guidance



MRR Chapters

- I General Provisions
- II Monitoring Plan
- III Monitoring of Emissions of Stationary Installations
- IV Monitoring of Emissions and Tonne-kilometre Data
 - from Aviation
- V Data Management and Control
- VI Reporting Requirements
- VII Information Technology
- VIII Final Provisions



MRR Annexes

I	Minimum content of the Monitoring Plan
II	Tier thresholds/requirements for calculation-based methodologies related to installations
III	Monitoring methodologies for aviation
IV	Activity-specific monitoring methodologies related to installations
V	Minimum tier requirements for calculation-based methodologies
VI	Reference values for calculation factors
VII	Minimum frequency of analyses
VIII	Measurement-based methodologies
IX	Minimum data and information to be retained
X	Minimum content of annual reports



Chapter I: General Provisions

Section 1: Subject Matter, scope and **definitions**

Section 2: General Principles:

- Article 4 (General obligation): Operators and aircraft operators shall carry out their obligations related to monitoring and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions under Directive 2003/87/EC in accordance with the principles laid down in Articles 5 to 9.
- Article 5: Completeness; 6: Consistency, Comparability and Transparency; 7: Accuracy; 8: Integrity of methodology; 9: Continuous improvement approach
- Article 10: Coordination (of CAs)



Chapter II: Monitoring Plan: Articles 11-18

- All operators/AOs require CA approved MPs
- MP shall consist of a detailed, complete and transparent documentation of the monitoring methodology
- At least the elements laid down in Annex I
- Supplementary requirements:
 - √ Written procedures
 - ✓ Evidence of compliance with uncertainty thresholds
 - ✓ Risk assessment evidence of control activities appropriate to the risks identified
- NEEDS TO BE IN PLACE BY 1st JANUARY 2013!



Annex I: Minimum Content of the Monitoring Plan

Part I: Installations:

- General information: description of installation, activities, source streams, emission sources, procedures
- Details of calculation-based methodology: formulae, tiers, measurement systems, calculation factors, analysis methods, sampling plan (procedures), laboratories
- Additionally: details of fall-back methodology, measurement-based methodology, N₂O methodology, PFC methodology, inherent and transferred CO₂ methodology

Part II: Aviation emissions

Part III: Aviation tonne-kilometre data



Article 12(2): Procedures

- The operator/AO shall establish, document, implement and maintain procedures (as required under Annex I)
- Summary details required in the MP:
 - √ Title
 - ✓ Traceable and verifiable reference (to identify the procedure)
 - ✓ Identification of responsible posts/departments
 - ✓ Brief description
 - ✓ Location of relevant records and information
 - ✓ Identity of relevant IT system
 - ✓ List of relevant ENs/other standards



Article 13: Standardised and Simplified MPs

- MSs may allow use of standardised or simplified MP templates based on templates and guidelines published by the Commission
- Before CA approval of any simplified MP, the use shall be justified and a simplified risk assessment carried out to demonstrate proposed control activities and procedures are sufficient
- Guidance can be expected to clarify the difference in meaning between standardised and simplified MPs.
 - (N.B. Standardisation is a form of simplicity)



Article 18: Unreasonable Costs

- Lays down a more transparent and consistent approach to determination of unreasonable costs
 - ✓ Does cost exceed benefit?
 - ✓ Benefit = improvement factor * 20 euro per allowance
 - ✓ Improvement factor = the difference between current uncertainty and the uncertainty threshold of tier to be reached * the average annual emissions for the source concerned
 - ✓ 1% of annual emissions (for the sources affected) is assumed regarding improvements that are not related to activity data and tier uncertainty thresholds, e.g. analytical improvements
- Exemplars to help to clarify further



Chapter III: Monitoring of Installations (General Provisions)

- Article 19: Categorisation of installations (Category A, B and C) and source streams (major, minor, de-minimis)
 - ✓ Source streams selected by the operator
- Article 20: Monitoring boundaries (all relevant GHG from all emission sources and source streams of Directive Annex I or Article 24 activities)
 - ✓ Annex IV (Activity-specific monitoring methodologies)
- Article 21: Choice of monitoring methodology
 - ✓ Calculation-based: standard (Article 24) or mass balance (Article 25); and/or measurement-based



Hierarchy of Applicable Tiers (Article 26) – NEW!

- Highest tier default for Category B and C installations
- At least the minimum tiers specified in Annex V for category A installations (and commercial standard fuels)
- Subject to CA satisfaction concerning technical feasibility and unreasonable costs, one level lower for Category C installations and up to two tier levels lower for Category A and B installations
- Where this is still technically not feasible or involves unreasonable costs, the CA may allow the operator to apply an even lower tier to a minimum of tier 1 subject to a suitable plan for necessary improvement within three years



Activity Data (Articles 27-29)

- N.B. Recital No.7: The burden regarding uncertainty assessment requirements should be minimised without reducing accuracy (.....in particular where measuring instruments are under 'national legal metrological control')
- Continual metering or aggregation including account of stock changes
- Article 28: Measurement systems under the operator's control (uncertainty assessment: calibration, conservative adjustment, stock capacities >5%, MPE-maximum permissible error for instruments under national legal metrological control)
- Article 29: Measurement systems outside the operator's own control (where at least meeting as high a tier, more reliable results, less control risks)



Calculation Factors (Articles 30-35)

According to tier requirement:

- Default values (Article 31):
 - ✓ Standard/stoichiometric factors (listed in Annex VI)
 - ✓ Country-specific values (submitted to the UNFCCC)
 - ✓ Literature values endorsed by the CA (compatible with country- specific values but representative of more disaggregated sources of fuel)
 - ✓ Supplier guarantee of carbon content according to a 95% confidence interval of not more than ±1%
 - ✓ Analytical values that remain representative
- Determination of calculation factors by analysis (Articles 32 to 35)



Analytical Determinations

- Article 32: EN standards >ISO/national> draft standards>industry best practice
- Article 32(3): Batch specific, representative, and all results must be taken into account (no 'cherry-picking')
- Article 33: **Sampling plan** (written procedure for each source stream)
- Article 34: EN ISO/IEC 17025 accredited laboratories unless
 - √ technically not feasible/unreasonable costs, and
 - ✓ non-accredited laboratory meets equivalence requirements (quality management and technical competence)
- Article 35: Frequency for analyses



Non-ISO 17025 Accredited Laboratory Technical Competence

- Laboratory competent to provide valid results; evidence of:
 - ✓ Management of personnel competence
 - ✓ Suitable accommodation and environment
 - ✓ Suitable analytical methods (standards); and where applicable method development
 - ✓ Uncertainty estimation
 - ✓ Management of equipment (including procedures for calibration, adjustment, maintenance)
 - ✓ Management and control: data, calibration materials, outsourced processes, complaints system
 - ✓ Quality assurance (including proficiency testing)



Article 35: Frequency for analyses

- Minimum frequencies as listed in Annex VII (to be reviewed within two years)
- Where the operator demonstrates Annex VII values are not available or appropriate, the CA may allow a different frequency:
 - ✓ A frequency **based on analytical variation of results** that is no more than 1/3 of the uncertainty value of the corresponding activity data tier
 - ✓ A frequency that does not incur unreasonable costs



Biomass

- Definitions: Article 3(20), (21) and (22)
- Recital 2: Article 17 Directive 2009/28/EC applies to bioliquids and biofuels (as defined)
- Article 38:
 - ✓ No-tier option (for activity data) for exclusively biomass source streams (analytical evidence of biomass content not required)
 - ✓ Peat, xylite and fossil fractions are not biomass and emissions need to be reported
 - ✓ Operator may apply no-tier methodology to determine factors for fossil fractions<3%
- Article 39: Determination of biomass and fossil fraction by default factor/specific analysis > use of standard EFs/fractions and estimation methods (where published by the Commission) > assumed 0% biomass or estimation method approved by the CA



Inherent and Transferred CO₂

- Article 48: Inherent CO₂ is part of a fuel that must be included in the fuel's emission factor, and reported as an emission, except in the event of transfer in a fuel to another EU ETS installation
- Article 49: Transferred CO_2 An operator may subtract from their reported emissions CO_2 that is transferred out of the installation, but only where the transfer is to an EU ETS carbon capture, transport or geological storage activity



Chapter IV: Aviation Articles 50-56

- Submission of MPs (51), monitoring methodology (52), specific provisions for biomass (53), small emitters (54), sources of uncertainty (55), determination of tonnekilometre data (56)
- MP submission 4-months before the relevant period or without undue delay on identifying the relevant CA (emissions MP)
- On-board or fuel supplier density measurement
- Small emitter threshold raised to 25000 tonnes CO2 emission per year



Specific Provisions for Aviation Biomass (Article 53)

- CA shall allow a common methodology, as appropriate
- Under that common methodology, the biomass fraction and net calorific value of the fuel used shall be determined using fuel purchase records
- The common methodology shall be based on guidance provided to facilitate consistent application in all Member States
- Use of aviation biofuel shall be assessed in accordance with Directive 2009/28/EC, Article 18, an appropriate certification scheme



Chapter V Data Management and Control

Article 57: Data flow activities

Article 58: Control system

Article 59: Quality assurance

Article 60: Quality assurance of information technology

Article 61: Segregation of duties

Article 62: Internal reviews and validation of data

Article 63: Corrections and corrective action

Article 64: Out-sourced processes

Article 65: Treatment of data gaps

Article 66: Records and documentation



Chapter VI Reporting Requirements

Article 67: Timing and obligations for reporting

✓ Annex X: Minimum content of annual reports

Article 68: Force Majeure (t-km data)

Article 69: Reporting on improvements to the

monitoring methodology

Article 70: Determination of emissions by the competent

authority

Article 71: Access to information

Article 72: Rounding of data

Article 73: Ensuring consistency with other reporting



Chapter VII Information Technology (IT) Requirements

Article 74: Electronic data exchange formats

Article 75: Use of automated systems



Guidance material in Support of the M&R Regulation

Types of guidance and tools:

- User manual
- General guidance
- Specific Guidance Notes
- Templates
- Exemplars, examples
- Frequently Asked Questions



Guidance material in Support of the M&R Regulation

Process:

- <u>Timing</u>: present project finishes end 2012, additional guidance material project in 2013
- <u>Need to set priorities</u>: General Issues, Specific Issues, Templates – first priorities geared to submission and approval of MPs
- <u>Cooperation with ETS Compliance Forum</u>: Monitoring, Aviation, E-reporting, CCS Task Forces
- Important need for continued dialogue with MSs to maximise the effective use of the guidance: TWG meetings planned, WGIII, CCC endorsements, further Compliance Forum Events



Thank You for your attention

Marco Loprieno <u>marco.loprieno@ec.europa.eu</u>

Rob Gemmill <u>robert.gemmill@ec.europa.eu</u>

DG CLIMA.A.3
EU ETS Monitoring Reporting and Verification