EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

This document is the questionnaire for this consultation. The survey contains 4 initial questions (A-D) to identify respondents, 86 questions for which responses will be made public and 4 questions that are classified confidential, must be sent directly to the European Commission and will not be made public. The questions that are classified potentially confidential are on two separate pages (2 questions on each page) and highlighted in green boxes.

Period of consultation

From 3 June 2009 to 3 August 2009 inclusive

How to submit your contribution

This consultation seeks to obtain feedback from all categories of stakeholders regarding the different aspects of auction design and implementation covered in the Consultation Paper.

We are sorry for the inconvenience, but the web-based survey is not available yet. If participants wish to complete the survey on this document and send their contributions back to **contact ets auctions consultation@icfi.com** their responses can be accepted in this format. The web-based survey will be available as soon as possible if participants wish to wait till that is available.

Received contributions will be published on the Internet. It is important to read the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation for information on how your personal data and contribution will be dealt with.

Specific privacy statement

"Received contributions, together with the identity of the contributor, will be published on the Internet, unless the contributor objects to publication of his or her personal data on the grounds that such publication would harm his or her legitimate interests. In such cases the contribution may be published in an anonymous form. Otherwise, the contribution will not be published nor will, in principle, its content be taken into account. Responses for questions deemed confidential in the consultation will not be available for view on the website irrespective of contributor objecting or not."

Instructions to filling out the questionnaire

•	Questions may only be answered in designated response fields
•	For certain multiple choice questions, simply click on box to indicate choice
•	Answer [Y/N] questions by typing "y" / "Y" or "n" / "N" on underlined area ()
•	Some responses require explanations, additional comments and detailed answers. These will either by identified by underline () or an answer section (A:). The amount of text that can be entered here is unlimited.
•	After completing the survey, please save and send to contact_ets_auctions_consultation@icfi.com
•	If any questions seem unclear in context or for method of response, please mail contact ets auctions consultation@icfi.com to clarify

Thank you

Section 1: Questions to categorize participants

Quest	ion A		
Name	of Com	npany/Organization: Deutsch	ne Post DHL
Princip	pal natu	are of activities:	
Numb	er of en	nployees in 2008:	
World	-wide <u>o</u>	over 500,000	Europe-wide <u>304,465</u>
Turno	ver in 2	008:	
World	-wide €	Em 54,474	Europe-wide <u>€m 35,894</u>
Quest	ion B		
Туре	of respo	ondent:	
	Memb	per State	
\boxtimes	Comp	any operating one or more in	nstallations covered by the EU ETS
		Electricity generators	
		Energy companies other th	an electricity generators
		Industrial sectors	
		Aviation	
		Other. Please specify:	_
	Appro	ox Annual Emissions: <u>~ 4 m</u>	io (Aviation) tCO ₂
	Intern	nediary	
		Financial institution	
		Trading arm of non-financ	ial institution
		Other. Please specify	_
	Trade	r on own account	
		Financial institution	
		Trading arm of non-financ	ial institution
		Other. Please specify	_

sions Tradi tion on des		ne (ETS) – rganisation of emissions allowance auctions	
Regula	ited mai	rket	
	Carbon	n only	
	Carbo	and electricity	
	Carbo	and other energy products	
Other o	carbon	market	
	Multila	ateral trading facility trading carbon derivatives	
	Carbon	n exchange trading spot carbon	
	Other.	Please specify	
Clearin	Clearing house		
Central	l counte	erparty	
Other (Other (multiple choices apply)		
		Non-governmental organisation	
		Trade association	
		Carbon analyst	
		Carbon publication	
		Academic	
		Other. Please specify	

Question C

Contact details will not be made public.

Question D

Questions relating to the "Specific privacy statement" above.

Do you object to publication of your personal data because it would harm your legitimate interests? [Y/N] N

If so, please provide an explanation of the legitimate interests that you think will be harmed:

A:

 \circ Are any of your responses confidential? [Y/N] \underline{N}

If so, please indicate which ones and provide an explanation:

A:

Section 2: Survey questions (86) and potentially confidential questions (4)

Question 1

As a general rule throughout the trading period, in your opinion, are early auctions necessary? [Y/N] Y

If so, what should the profile of EUA auctions be?

5-10% in year n-2, 10-20% in year n-1, remainder in year n

10-20% in year n-2, 20-30% in year n-1, remainder in year n

20-30% in year n-2, 30-35% in year n-1, remainder in year n

Other? Please specify: _____

Question 2

Do you think there is a need to auction futures? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$ If so, why?

A: credit and cash flow issue, protecting the cost base

Question 3

What share of allowances should be auctioned spot and what share should be auctioned as futures for each year?

			SPOT	FUTURES
•	year n	:	<u>10</u> %	<u>90</u> %
•	year n-1	:	<u>0</u> %	<u>100</u> %
•	year n-2	:	<u>0</u> %	<u>100</u> %

Please provide evidence to support your case.

A:

NB: The answer to this question will be published as part of the public consultation. Please do not submit confidential information as part of your answer to this question.

Should the common maturity date used in futures auctions be in December (so the maturity date would be December in year n, both when auctioning in year n-2 as when auctioning in year n-1)? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$

If not, please suggest alternative maturity dates and provide evidence to support your view.

A:

This page contains two questions that will not be made public. These questions cannot be completed on this document

Request for
potentially
confidential
information 1

Please send the answer to this question in paper and electronic format, marked on the envelope "Strictly Private and Confidential – Auctioning consultation", directly to the European Commission, DG ENV, Directorate C, Unit C2, to the attention of the Head of Unit, Office BU-5 2/1, 1049 Brussels, Belgium. It will be treated confidentially and will not be disclosed publicly.

For ETS operators: what share of your expected emissions covered by the EU ETS in a given year n do you hedge and how much in advance?

year n
 year n-1
 year n-2
 earlier years (please specify)

Request for potentially confidential information 2

Please send the answer to this question in paper and electronic format, marked on the envelope "Strictly Private and Confidential – Auctioning consultation", directly to the European Commission, DG ENV, Directorate C, Unit C2, to the attention of the Head of Unit, Office BU-5 2/1, 1049 Brussels, Belgium. It will be treated confidentially and will not be disclosed publicly.

What share of the annual quantity of allowances you intend to purchase *via* auctions would you wish to buy spot or futures respectively?

		SPOT		FUTURES	
•	year n	:	_%	1	%
•	year n-1	:	_%	1	%
•	year n-2	:	_%		%

Please specify whether you are an:

- ETS operator; or
- Other participant.

Question 5 For spot auctions: What should be the **optimum** frequency of auctions? Weekly? Fortnightly?

Ш	rorunghtry:
	Monthly?
	Quarterly?
	Other? Please specify:
What	should be the minimum frequency of auctions?
	Weekly?
	Fortnightly?
\boxtimes	Monthly?
	Quarterly?
	Other? Please specify:
What	should be the maximum frequency of auctions?
	Weekly?
	Fortnightly?
\boxtimes	Monthly?
	Quarterly?
	Other? Please specify:
Pleas	e provide arguments to support your case.
A:	
Ques	tion 6
For s _l	pot auctions, what should be the:
•	Optimum auction size?
•	Minimum auction size?
•	Maximum auction size?
If dee	emed appropriate, please indicate a range and/or distribution over different sizes.
Pleas	e provide arguments to support your case.
Α.	

Questi	on 7
For fut	ures auctions:
What s	should be the optimum frequency of auctions?
	Weekly?
	Fortnightly?
	Monthly?
	Quarterly?
	Other? Please specify:
What s	should be the minimum frequency of auctions?
	Weekly?
	Fortnightly?
	Monthly?
	Quarterly?
	Other? Please specify:
What s	should be the maximum frequency of auctions?
	Weekly?
	Fortnightly?
	Monthly?
	Quarterly?
	Other? Please specify:
Please	provide arguments to support your case.
A:	
Questi	on 8
For fut	ures auctions, what should be the:
•	Optimum auction size?
•	Minimum auction size?
•	Maximum auction size?
If deen	ned appropriate, please indicate a range and/or distribution over different sizes.
Please	provide evidence to support your case.

EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions
A:
Question 9
Should volumes of spot allowances be auctioned evenly throughout the year? [Y/N] \underline{Y}
If not, how should volumes be distributed? (more than one answer possible) Please specify:
A larger proportion in the first 4 months of the year?
A larger proportion in December?
A smaller proportion in July and August?
Other? Please specify:
Question 10
In case futures are auctioned, should the volumes for spot and futures auctions be spread over the year in the same manner? [Y/N] \underline{Y}
If not, how should they differ? (more than one answer possible)
No futures auctions less than six months before the maturity date.
A larger proportion in December.
A smaller proportion in July and August.
Otherwise? Please specify how and comment: <u>evenly spread over the year</u>
Question 11
Does the Regulation need to have provisions to avoid holding auctions during a short period of time before the surrendering date (30 April each year)? $[Y/N]_{-}$
If yes, how long should this period be:
One week 2 weeks 1 month 1
In case futures are auctioned, should there be similar provisions with respect to the period immediately prior to the maturity date? [Y/N] _

3 weeks

1 month

If yes, how long should this period be:

2 weeks

One week 🖂

Ques	tion 12
Whic	h dates should be avoided? (more than one answer possible)
	Public holidays common in most Member States?
	Days where important relevant economic data is released?
	Days where emissions data are released?
	Other? Please specify:
Pleas	e specify the dates you have in mind in your answers.
Ques	tion 13
Is a h	armonised 10-12 hrs CET auction slot desirable? [Y/N] \underline{Y}
If not	, what alternative(s) would you suggest?
A:	
Ques	tion 14
How	long in advance should each element of the calendar be determined?
Annu	al volumes to be auctioned:
	1 year in advance
	2 years in advance
	3 years in advance
	more years in advance
Distri	ibution of annual volumes over spot and futures (if applicable):
	1 year in advance
	2 years in advance
	3 years in advance
	more years in advance
Dates	s of individual auctions:
	1 year in advance
	2 years in advance
	3 years in advance
	more years in advance

Volum	e and product type for individual auctions:
	1 year in advance
	2 years in advance
\boxtimes	3 years in advance
	more years in advance
Each a	uctioneer carrying out auction process (if more than one):
	1 year in advance
	2 years in advance
\boxtimes	3 years in advance
	more years in advance
Please	provide arguments to support your case.
A:	

What should be the volume of allowances to be auctioned in 2011 and 2012?

- in 2011:33,3 % of the 2013 volume and 33,3% of the 2014 volume
- in 2012:<u>33,3</u>% of the 2013 volume and <u>33,3</u>% of the 2014 volume

What percentage of these shares should be auctioned as futures?

- in 2011: 100% of the 2013 share and 100% of the 2014 share
- in 2012: 100% of the 2013 share and 100% of the 2014 share

Please provide evidence to support your case.

A: credit and cash flow issues, protection of cost base

Questi	on 16
What s	should be the rule with respect to allowances not auctioned due to force majeure?
	They should automatically be added to the next auction on the calendar, irrespective of the auction process.
	They should be auctioned within one month, though leaving flexibility as to which auction(s) the EUAs should be added.
	They should be auctioned within three months, though leaving flexibility as to which auction(s) the EUAs should be added.
	Other? Please specify:
Questi	ion 17
Is 1,00	0 allowances the most appropriate lot size? [Y/N] \underline{Y}
If not,	why not?
A:	
Questi	ion 18
	agle-round sealed-bid auction the most appropriate auction format for auctioning owances? [Y/N] \underline{N}
If not,	please comment on your alternative proposal?
A: the	price development must be public
Questi	on 19
What i	s the most appropriate pricing rule for the auctioning of EU allowances?
	Uniform-pricing.
\boxtimes	Discriminatory-pricing.
	Indifferent.
Please	provide arguments to support your case.
	ids are far above market to get a guaranteed allocation, the bid must be paid.

EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) -

Please comment on your choice.

Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

A:	
Quest	ion 25
	e only one of the two following options would be chosen, to limit the risk of market ulation or collusion, which one would be preferable?
\boxtimes	A discriminatory-price auction format?
	A maximum bid-size per single entity?
Please comment on your choice.	
A:	
Quest	ion 26
Are the following pre-registration requirements appropriate and adequate?	
Identii	ty:
\boxtimes	Natural or legal person;
	Name, address, whether publicly listed, whether licensed and supervised under the AML rules; membership of a professional association; membership of a chamber of commerce; VAT and/or tax number;
\boxtimes	Contact details of authorised representatives and proof of authorisation; and
\boxtimes	CITL-Registry account details.
	Anything else? Please specify:

EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

Declarations with respect to the past 5 years on absence of:			
	Indictment or conviction of serious crimes: check corporate officers, directors, principals, members or partners;		
	Infringement of the rules of any regulated or unregulated market;		
	Permits to conduct business being revoked or suspended;		
	Infringement of procurement rules; and		
	Infringement of disclosure of confidential information.		
	Anything else? Please specify:		
Declar	Declarations and submission of documentation relating to:		
	Proof of identity;		
	Type of business;		
	Participation in EU ETS or not;		
	EU ETS registered installations, if any;		
	Bank account contact details;		
	Intended auctioning activity;		
	Whether bidding on own account or on behalf of another beneficial owner;		
	Corporate and business affiliations;		
	Creditworthiness;		
	Collateral; and		
	Whether it carries out transactions subject to VAT or transactions exempted from VAT.		
	Anything else? Please specify:		
Question 27			
Do you agree that the pre-registration requirements for admittance to EU auctions should be harmonised throughout the EU?			
Yes 🗵	Yes 🖂 No 🗌		
Please comment on your choice.			
A:			
1.			

Should the amount of information to be supplied in order to satisfy the pre-registration requirements for admittance to EU auctions depend on the:	
means of establishing the trading relationship;	
identity of bidder;	
whether auctioning spot or futures;	
size of bid;	
means of payment and delivery;	
anything else? Please specify:	
If so, what should the differences be?	
A: same rules for everybody	
Question 29	
Should the bidder pre-registration requirements under the Regulation apply in the same manner irrespective of whether or not the auctioneer is covered by the MiFID or AML rules? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$	
A:	
If not, why not?	
A:	
Please provide arguments to support your case.	
Question 30	
Do you agree that the auctioneer(s) should be allowed to rely on pre-registration checks carried out by reliable third parties including: $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$	
Other auctioneers?	
☐ Credit and/or financial institutions?	
Other? Please specify:	
Please comment on your choice.	
A:	

Question :	31
-------------------	----

In order to facilitate bidder pre-registration in their home country, should the auctioneer(s) be allowed to provide for pre-registration by potential bidders in other (or all) Member States than the auctioneer's home country e.g. by outsourcing this to a reliable third party?
Yes ⊠ No □
Please comment on your choice:
A:
If so, should such entities be:
Covered by the AML rules?
Covered by MiFID?
Covered by both?
Other? Please specify:
Please comment on your choice:
A:
Question 32
Should the Regulation prohibit the multiplicity of pre-registration checks in the case of Member States auctioning jointly?
Yes ⊠ No □
Please comment on your choice.
A:
Ouestion 33

Do you agree that the *level* of collateral accepted in EUA auctions should be harmonised

for all EU ETS auctions? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$

If so, how should they be harmonised?
A:
If not, why not?
A:
Question 34
Do you agree that the $type$ of collateral accepted in EUA auctions should be harmonised for all EU ETS auctions? [Y/N] _
If so, how should they be harmonised?
A:
If not, why not?
A:
Question 35
Do you agree that 100% collateral in electronic money transfer ought to be deposited upfront at a central counterparty or credit institution designated by the auctioneer to access spot auctions? [Y/N] \underline{N}
If not, why not?
A:
What alternative(s) would you suggest? Please provide arguments to support your case:
A:
Question 36
In case futures are auctioned, should a clearing house be involved to mitigate credit and market risks? [Y/N] \underline{N}
If so, should specific rules – other than those currently used in exchange clearing houses – apply to:
the level of the initial margin;
the level of variation margin calls;
the daily frequency of variation margin call payments?

If you have answered yes, please justify and elaborate on the rules that should apply and the mechanisms to implement them:		
A:	A:	
Ques	tion 37	
	are the most preferable payment and delivery procedures that should be mented for auctioning EUAs?	
	Payment before delivery.	
\boxtimes	Delivery versus payment.	
	Both.	
Please	e comment on your choice.	
A:		
Question 38		
Ques		
Irresp	bective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? $[Y/N] \underline{N}$	
Irresp	ective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of	
Irresp	bective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? $[Y/N] \underline{N}$	
Irresp	sective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? $[Y/N] N$; what should it be?	
Irresp	pective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days	
Irresp	pective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days	
Irresptime for time for the following for the fo	pective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 6 working days	
Irresptime for time for the following for the fo	pective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 6 working days 7 working days	
Irresptime for the first state of the formula in th	pective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 6 working days 7 working days	
Irresptime to If yes If	sective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 6 working days 7 working days ? Please specify:	
Irresptime to If yes If	sective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 7 working days ? Please specify: tion 39 In the Regulation provide any specific provisions for the handling of payment and	
Irresptime to If yes If	rective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 7 working days ? Please specify: tion 39 In the Regulation provide any specific provisions for the handling of payment and ery incidents or failures? [Y/N] N	
Irresptime to If yes If yes Other Quest Should deliver If yes	rective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of for payment and delivery to take place? [Y/N] N ; what should it be? 4 working days 5 working days 7 working days ? Please specify: tion 39 In the Regulation provide any specific provisions for the handling of payment and ery incidents or failures? [Y/N] N	

Should the Regulation provide for all matters that are central to the very creation, existence and termination or frustration of the transaction arising from the EUA auctions? $[Y/N]_{-}$

If not, why not?

A:

If so, are the matters enumerated below complete? [Y/N] _

- The designation of the parties' to the trade.
- The characteristics of the auctioned product:
 - o Nature: EUAs or EUAAs, trading period concerned.
 - o Date of delivery: date at which winning bidders will receive the allowances on their registry account.
 - O Date of payment: date at which payment will be required from winning bidders.
 - O Lot size: number of allowances associated with one unit of the auctioned good.
- Events of 'force majeure' and resulting consequences.
- Events of default by the auctioneer and/or the bidder and their consequences.
- Applicable remedies or penalties.
- The regime governing the judicial review of claims across the EU.

If not, what additional matters should be foreseen in the Regulation and why?

A:

Should the Regulation provide for rules on jurisdiction and the mutual recognition and enforcement of judgments? [Y/N] \underline{Y}	
If so, should these be:	
specific to the Regulation;	
by reference to the Brussels I Regulation;	
by citing exceptions from the Brussels I Regulation;	
by citing additions to the Brussels I Regulation?	
Please comment on your choice:	
A:	
If not, why not?	
A:	
Question 42	
Which auction model is preferable?	
Direct bidding?	
Indirect bidding?	
Both?	
Please comment on your choice.	
A:	
Question 43	
If an indirect model is used, what share of the total volume of EU allowances could be auctioned through indirect bidding?	
Please provide arguments to support your case.	
A: in the primary market there is no need for intermediaries	

	primary participants model is used, what provisions would be desirable for iting disadvantages of restricting direct access (more than one answer is possible):
	Allow direct access to largest emitters, even if they trade only on their own account?
	If so, who should have direct access and what thresholds should apply?
\boxtimes	Disallow primary participants trading on their own account?
	Impose strict separation of own-account trading from trading on behalf of indirect bidders?
	Other? Please specify:
Quest	ion 45
	primary participants' model is used, what conflict of interest requirements should posed? (more than one answer possible)
	Separation of client registration and trading on behalf of clients from all own account trading activities.
	Separation of collateral management, payment and delivery on behalf of clients from all own account trading activities.
	Separation of anything else, please specify:

Question 46

What obligations should apply to primary participants acting in EU-wide auctions as:

- Intermediaries? A:
- Market makers? A:

Please provide arguments to support your case.

Oi	iestion	47
Vι	icstivii	T /

Under what conditions should auctioning through exchanges be allowed (more than one answer possible):
Only for futures auctions open to established members of the exchange?
Also for spot auctions open to established members of the exchange?
Only when the exchange-based auction is open to non-established members on a non-discriminatory cost-effective basis?
Other? Please specify:
Please provide arguments to support your case.
A:
Question 48
Should direct auctions be allowed through:
1) Third party service providers? $[Y/N] \underline{N}$
2) Public authorities? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$
Please comment on your selection:
A:
Question 49
Do the general rules for auctioning EUAs suffice for ensuring full, fair and equitable access to allowances to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$
If not, why not?
A:

Is allowing non-competitive bids necessary for ensuring access to allowances to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters in case of:

- discriminatory-price auctions? A: no
- uniform-price auctions? A: no

Question 51 If non-competitive bids are provided for in spot auctions, what maximum share of allowances could be allocated through this route? □ 5% 10% Other? Please specify: Please comment on your choice. A: **Question 52** What rule should apply for accessing non-competitive bids (more than one answer possible): Participants should only be allowed to use one of the two bidding routes? Non-competitive bids should be restricted to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters only? Other? Please specify: Please comment on your choice. A: none **Question 53** What should be the maximum bid-size allowed for SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters submitting non-competitive bids?

5 000 EUAs 10 000 EUAs 25 000 EUAs

none at all

Over 25 000 EUAs, please specify exact size and give reasons for your answer:

Are there any other specific measures not mentioned in this consultation that may be necessary for ensuring full, fair and equitable access to allowances for SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters? $[Y/N]_{-}$		
If so, please specify:		
A:		
Question 55		
What should be the minimum period of time before the auction date for the release of the notice to auction?		
2 weeks 1 month 2 months		
Other Please specify:		
Please comment on your proposal.		
A:		
Question 56		
What should be the minimum period of time before the auction date for the submission of the intention to bid?		
1 week 2 weeks 1 month		
Other Please specify: on auction day		
Please comment on your proposal.		
A:		
Question 57		
Are there any specific provisions that need to be highlighted in:		
The notice to auction?		
The intention to bid?		
Both?		
Please specify what they are.		
A:		

What	information should be disclosed after the auction:					
	Clearing price (if allowances are awarded on a uniform-price basis or in the case of non-competitive bids being allowed)?					
\boxtimes	Average price (if allowances are awarded on a discriminatory-price basis)?					
	Any relevant information to solve tied bids?					
	Total volume of EUAs auctioned?					
	Total volume of bids submitted distinguishing between competitive and non-competitive bids (if applicable)?					
\boxtimes	Total volume of allowances allocated?					
	Anything else? Please specify:					
Ques	tion 59					
What	should be the maximum delay for the announcement of auction results?					
5 min	15 minutes					
1 hou	r 🗌					
Other	Please specify:					
Please	e comment on your proposal.					
A:						
Ques	tion 60					
•	bu feel that any specific additional provisions should be adopted in the Regulation e granting of fair and equal access to auction information? [Y/N] \underline{N}					
If so,	what may they be?					
Ques	tion 61					
Shoul	d an auction monitor be appointed centrally to monitor all EU auctions?					
[Y/N]	$ \underline{\mathbf{Y}} $					
If not	, why not?					
A:						

Quest	tion 62
•	ou agree that the Regulation should contain general principles on [mark those that gree with, \boxtimes]:
	the designation and mandate of the auction monitor; and
	cooperation between the auctioneer(s) and the auction monitor?
If not,	why not?
A:	
	d these be supplemented by operational guidance, possibly through Commission lines? [Y/N] \underline{Y}
If not,	why not?
A:	
Quest	tion 63
	re a need for harmonised market abuse provisions in the Regulation to prevent or dealing and market manipulation? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$
If not,	, why not?
A:	
	e comment on your choice outlining the provisions you deem necessary and stating asons why.
A:	
Quest	tion 64
	d the Regulation provide for harmonised enforcement measures to sanction [mark that you agree with, \boxtimes]:
	Non-compliance with its provisions?
	Market abuse?

Please provide arguments to support your case.

A:

Ques	stion 65
Shou	ald the enforcement measures include [mark those that you agree with, []:
	The suspension of the auctioneer(s) and/or bidders from the EU-wide auctions? If so, for how long should such suspension last? only in case of repeated misconduct
	Financial penalties? If so, at what level should such penalties be fixed?
	The power to address binding interim decisions to the auctioneer(s) and/or bidders to avert any urgent, imminent threat of breach of the Regulation with likely irreversible adverse consequences?
	Anything else? Please specify:
Pleas	se provide arguments to support your case.
A:	
Que	stion 66
Shou	ald such enforcement measures apply at:
	EU level?
	National level?
	Both?
Pleas	se comment on your choice.
A:	
One	ation 67
-	stion 67 should enforce compliance with the Regulation (more than one answer is possible):
WIIO	The auction monitor?
	The auctioneer?
	A competent authority at EU level?
	A competent authority at national level? Other? Please aposity:
⊠ D'	Other? Please specify:
	se provide evidence to support your case.
A:	

Which of the three approaches for an overall EU auction model do you prefer? Please rate the options below (1 being the most preferable, 3 being the least preferable)

- <u>3</u> Limited number of coordinated auction processes.
- <u>1</u> Full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process.
- The hybrid approach where different auction processes are cleared through a centralised system.

D1	•					
Planca	0111A	arguments	ta	cumnort	VALUE	COCA
1 ICasc	2110	arguments	w	SUDDOIL	voui	casc.

A:

Oi	uestion	69
v	acstion	v

If a limited number of coordinated auction processes develops, what should be the maximum number?
☐ 3
☐ 5
☑ 7
more than 7, please specify: weekly
Please give arguments to support your case.
A:
Question 70
Is there a need for a transitional phase in order to develop gradually the optimal auction infrastructure? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$
If so, what kind of transitional arrangements would you recommend?

Question 71

Should the Regulation impose the following requirements for the auctioneer(s) and auction processes? [mark those that apply, \boxtimes]:

Technical capabilities of auctioneers:

	capacity and experience to conduct auctions (or a specific part of the auction process) in an open, fair, transparent, cost-effective and non-discriminatory manner;
	appropriate investment in keeping the system up-to-date and in line with ongoing market and technological developments; and
	relevant professional licences, high ethical and quality control standards, compliance with financial and market integrity rules.
Integri	ty:
	guarantee confidentiality of bids, ability to manage market sensitive information in an appropriate manner;
	duly protected electronic systems and appropriate security procedures with regards to identification and data transmission;
\boxtimes	appropriate rules on avoiding and monitoring conflicts of interest; and
\boxtimes	full cooperation with the auction monitor.
Reliabi	ility:
\boxtimes	robust organisation and IT systems;
\boxtimes	adequate fallback measures in case of unexpected events;
\boxtimes	minimisation of the risk of cancelling an individual auction once announced;
	minimisation of the risk of failing functionalities (e.g. access to the bidding platform for certain potential bidders); and
\boxtimes	fallback system in case of IT problems on the bidder side.
Access	ibility and user friendliness:
	fair, concise, comprehensible and easily accessible information on how to participate in auctions;
\boxtimes	short and simple pre-registration forms;
\boxtimes	clear and simple electronic tools;
\boxtimes	(option of) accessibility of platforms through a dedicated internet interface;
	ability of the auction platform to connect to and communicate with proprietary trading systems used by bidders;
\boxtimes	adequate and regular training (including mock auctions);
\boxtimes	detailed user guidance on how to participate in the auction; and
\boxtimes	ability to test identification and access to the auction.
Please	elaborate if any of these requirements need not be included.

Page 32

A:

Please	e elaborate what additional requirements would be desirable.
A:	
Quest	tion 72
	provisions on administrative fees should the Regulation include (more than one or is possible)?
	General principles on proportionality, fairness and non-discrimination.
	Rules on fee structure.
	Rules on the amount of admissible fees.
	Other? Please specify: no fees at all
Please	e provide arguments to support your case.
A:	
Quest	ion 73
	d there be provisions for public disclosure of material steps when introducing new apted) auction processes?
A: Ye	s
	d new (or adapted) auction process be notified to and authorised by the nission before inclusion in the auction calendar?
A: sho	ould be done by the auction monitor
	ion 74
	n one of the following options is the most appropriate in case a Member State does old auctions (on time)?
	Auctions by an auctioneer authorised by the Commission.
	Automatic addition of the delayed quantities to those foreseen for the next two or three auctions.
What	other option would you envisage? Please specify:
A:	

Should a sanction apply to a Member State that does not auction allowances in line with its commitments? [Y/N] \underline{Y}

If so, what form should that sanction take?

A: financial

Question 76

As a general rule throughout the trading period, in your opinion, are early auctions necessary? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$ If so, what should the profile of EUAA auctions be:

	5-10% in year n-2, 10-20% in year n-1, remainder in year n
	10-20% in year n-2, 20-30% in year n-1, remainder in year n
	20-30% in year n-2, 30-35% in year n-1, remainder in year n
П	Other? Please specify:

Question 77

Do you think there is a need to auction EUAA futures? $[Y/N] \underline{Y}$ If so, why?

A: credit and cash flow issues, fixing the cost basis

This page contains two questions that will not be made public. These questions cannot be completed on this document

Request for	
potentially	
confidential	
information	3

Please send the answer to this question in paper and electronic format, marked on the envelope "Strictly Private and Confidential – Auctioning consultation", directly to the European Commission, DG ENV, Directorate C, Unit C2, to the attention of the Head of Unit, Office BU-5 2/1, 1049 Brussels, Belgium. It will be treated confidentially and will not be disclosed publicly.

For aircraft operators covered by the EU ETS:

Have you determined a corporate hedging strategy for carbon needs? Yes [] No []

If so, what share of your expected emissions covered by the EU ETS in a given year n do you (intend to) hedge and how much in advance?

year n
year n-1
year n-2
%

Request for potentially confidential information 4

Please send the answer to this question in paper and electronic format, marked on the envelope "Strictly Private and Confidential – Auctioning consultation", directly to the European Commission, DG ENV, Directorate C, Unit C2, to the attention of the Head of Unit, Office BU-5 2/1, 1049 Brussels, Belgium. It will be treated confidentially and will not be disclosed publicly.

What share of the annual quantity of allowances you intend to purchase *via* auctions would you wish to buy spot or futures respectively?

		SPOT	FUTURES
•	year n	:%	%
•	year n-1	:%	%
•	year n-2	:%	

Quest	10n /8
What	should be the optimal frequency and size of EUAA auctions:
	2 auctions per year of around 15 million EUAAs?
	3 auctions per year of around 10 million EUAAs?
	More than 3 auctions per year? Please specify: weekly
Please	comment on your choice.
A:	
Quest	ion 79
What	would be your preferred timing for EUAA auctions:
\boxtimes	Equally spread throughout the year?
	November – March?
	Other? Please specify:
Quest	ion 80
	d any of the EUAA auction design elements be different compared to EUA ns (see section 3)? $[Y/N] N$
If so, 1	please specify and comment on your choice.
A:	
Ougst	ion 81
Do yo	u agree there is no need for a maximum bid-size? [Y/N] _ why not?
A: ma	ximum bid size should not be limited
Quest	ion 82
	re any information regarding aircraft operators made available as part of the tory process to the competent authorities that could facilitate the KYC checks

performed by the auctioneer(s)? [Y/N] _

If so, please describe what information is concerned and whether it should be referred to in the Regulation or any operational guidance published by the Commission.		
A:		
Question 83		
In your opinion, is there a specific need to allow for non-competitive bids in EUAA auctions?		
A: no, same setup as for EUAs		
Would this be the case even when applying a uniform clearing price format?		
A: yes		
Please provide arguments to support your case.		
Question 84		
Do you agree that there is no need for any specific provisions for EUAA auctions as regards [mark those that you agree with, \boxtimes]:		
Involvement of primary participants, exchanges or third party service providers?		
Guarantees and financial assurance?		
Payment and delivery?		
☐ Information disclosure?		
Auction monitoring?		
Preventing anti-competitive behaviour and/or market manipulation?		
Enforcement?		
If not, please describe in detail what rules would be needed and why.		
A:		

Taking into account the smaller volume of EUAA allowances to be auctioned compared to EUAs, which of the three approaches for an overall EUAA auctioning model do you prefer? Please rate the options below (1 being the most preferable, 3 being the least preferable)

- <u>3</u> Limited number of coordinated auction processes.
- <u>1</u> Full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process.
- 2 Hybrid approach where different auction processes are cleared through a centralised system.

Does your choice differ from the approach preferred for EUAs? $[Y/N] \underline{N}$

Please provide arguments to support your case.

A:

-	a agree that there is no need for any specific provisions for EUAA auctions as s. [mark those that you agree with, \boxtimes]:
\boxtimes	Requirements for the auctioneer(s) and auction processes?
	Administrative fees?
\boxtimes	Rules to ensure appropriate and timely preparation of the auctions?
If not, j	please describe in detail what rules would be needed and why.
Δ.	