KOBiZE view on the new MR regulation and guidance documents

Brussels, 01.10.2012

Tomasz Karpiński

The National Center for Emissions Management Institute of Environmental Protection - National Research Institute



Adequacy of the MRR guidance:

- KOBiZE organized workshops on the new MRR and MP guidance
- Participation by almost 500 operators, 120 regional competent authorities and 50 verifiers
- KOBiZE introduced changes in law, guidance documents and step by step preparation of new MPs based on EC template
- To ensure harmonized approval process of MPs by regional CAs, KOBiZE provided further detailed info on checks and how to do it
- KOBiZE stressed importance of meeting uncertainty level and proper risk analyses



Adequacy of the MRR guidance:

Main outcomes of the workshops:

- PL will be using MP templates provided by EU;
- In general positive view templates, guidances, automatic checks and examples, user friendly format

Comments:

- Lack of national versions of MP template on EC website;
- Still some "bugs" in EN version of MP template;
- Need for translation of general guidance;
- Need for finalisation of specific guidance (risk analyses particularly needed);
- Lack of guidance dedicated to process of acceptance of MP (checklist);
- Guidance's needs to be updated with country specific information (national metrological control, source of maximal permissible error in service)



Way forward

Process of preparation and acceptance of new MP will start from the beginning of October;

To achieve higher harmonization between regional CAs KOBiZE:

- introduced new channel for technical support (dedicated email account and phone numbers) for both operators and CA
- plans to add country specific information to EC guidance
- plans to publish FAQ&A paper based on Workshop questions
- plans to provide exemplars of MP (maybe also exemplars of internal procedures);
- will organize dedicated workshops for aviation operators;

New ETS law under preparation in Poland: it will address coordination requirement related to Art. 10 of MRR (coordination of CAs responsible for acceptance of MP).



Calculation vs CEMS:

- First phase (2005 2007) only 3 installation used CEMS;
- Second phase (2008-2012) none of the installations are using CEMS;
- Third phase (2013 2020) CEMS will be obligatory for 3 installations for

monitoring N₂O emissions (production of nitric acid);

Main reasons:

- cost of implementation of new technology;
- emissions calculated using CEMS technology might be a little bit higher;
- previous rules related to using CEMS were too complicated;
- uncertainty related to first CEMS technology equipment were high;

Rest of the installations (around 800) are using the standard calculation methodology.



Calculation vs CEMS:



Problems:

• high costs related to sampling and analyses (quality of coal differs depending on supplier) – frequency of sampling and analyses should be adjusted in time;

• uncertainty related to stocks (in the case of PL, stocks are obligatory if energy or heat is produced) – usually high and hard to calculate;

 measurement instrument vulnerable for errors – high cost of proper maintenance and control and problems with achievement of highest tiers (+/- 1,5%);

Solution:

- recommendation from verifiers;
- guidance with explanation of benefits from using CEMS;

Problematic but possible.



2013 and beyond:

work on guidance and templates should be continued – clear work plan is needed;

> some of guidance should be updated in time:

general guidance – unreasonable costs - type of cost that could be taken into account (not only investment costs?);

- internal procedures – content of procedures in

the case of outsourcing services (external laboratory)

biomass – standard factors of biomass content;

- estimation methods

➢ introduction of new and exchange of existing exemplars (monitoring plans, sampling plans, risk assessment, internal procedures);

➤ guide on cross-cutting issues – correction of verified report after end of April (how to apply it into Registry? what to do when reported emission is under or overestimated?)

> need to establish proper **information exchange channel** with Commission in the case of problems with interpretation of specific MRV rules – similar to helpdesk during NIMs exercise.

FAQ&A paper – with common questions gathered during the process of acceptance of MPs.

Thank you for your attention

tomasz.karpinski@kobize.pl www.kobize.pl Tel.: +48 22 569 65 28

