KOBiZE view on the new MR regulation and guidance documents

Brussels, 01.10.2012

Tomasz Karpiński

The National Center for Emissions Management Institute of Environmental Protection - National Research Institute



# Adequacy of the MRR guidance:

- KOBiZE organized workshops on the new MRR and MP guidance
- Participation by almost 500 operators, 120 regional competent authorities and 50 verifiers
- KOBiZE introduced changes in law, guidance documents and step by step preparation of new MPs based on EC template
- To ensure harmonized approval process of MPs by regional CAs, KOBiZE provided further detailed info on checks and how to do it
- KOBiZE stressed importance of meeting uncertainty level and proper risk analyses



# Adequacy of the MRR guidance:

### Main outcomes of the workshops:

- PL will be using MP templates provided by EU;
- In general positive view templates, guidances, automatic checks and examples, user friendly format

### **Comments:**

- Lack of national versions of MP template on EC website;
- Still some "bugs" in EN version of MP template;
- Need for translation of general guidance;
- Need for finalisation of specific guidance (risk analyses particularly needed);
- Lack of guidance dedicated to process of acceptance of MP (checklist);
- Guidance's needs to be updated with country specific information (national metrological control, source of maximal permissible error in service)



# Way forward

Process of preparation and acceptance of new MP will start from the beginning of October;

To achieve higher harmonization between regional CAs KOBiZE:

- introduced new channel for technical support (dedicated email account and phone numbers) for both operators and CA
- plans to add country specific information to EC guidance
- plans to publish FAQ&A paper based on Workshop questions
- plans to provide exemplars of MP (maybe also exemplars of internal procedures);
- will organize dedicated workshops for aviation operators;

New ETS law under preparation in Poland: it will address coordination requirement related to Art. 10 of MRR (coordination of CAs responsible for acceptance of MP).



## Calculation vs CEMS:

- First phase (2005 2007) only 3 installation used CEMS;
- Second phase (2008-2012) none of the installations are using CEMS;
- Third phase (2013 2020) CEMS will be obligatory for 3 installations for

monitoring N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (production of nitric acid);

#### Main reasons:

- cost of implementation of new technology;
- emissions calculated using CEMS technology might be a little bit higher;
- previous rules related to using CEMS were too complicated;
- uncertainty related to first CEMS technology equipment were high;

Rest of the installations (around 800) are using the standard calculation methodology.



## Calculation vs CEMS:



#### **Problems:**

• high costs related to sampling and analyses (quality of coal differs depending on supplier) – frequency of sampling and analyses should be adjusted in time;

• uncertainty related to stocks (in the case of PL, stocks are obligatory if energy or heat is produced) – usually high and hard to calculate;

 measurement instrument vulnerable for errors – high cost of proper maintenance and control and problems with achievement of highest tiers (+/- 1,5%);

#### Solution:

- recommendation from verifiers;
- guidance with explanation of benefits from using CEMS;

Problematic but possible.



## 2013 and beyond:

work on guidance and templates should be continued – clear work plan is needed;

> some of guidance should be updated in time:

general guidance – unreasonable costs - type of cost that could be taken into account (not only investment costs?);

- internal procedures – content of procedures in

the case of outsourcing services (external laboratory)

biomass – standard factors of biomass content;

- estimation methods

➢ introduction of new and exchange of existing exemplars (monitoring plans, sampling plans, risk assessment, internal procedures);

➤ guide on cross-cutting issues – correction of verified report after end of April (how to apply it into Registry? what to do when reported emission is under or overestimated?)

> need to establish proper **information exchange channel** with Commission in the case of problems with interpretation of specific MRV rules – similar to helpdesk during NIMs exercise.

FAQ&A paper – with common questions gathered during the process of acceptance of MPs.

### Thank you for your attention

tomasz.karpinski@kobize.pl www.kobize.pl Tel.: +48 22 569 65 28

