



Waiver of site visits 2013, Non-compliance with the MRR, Changes to the operation

Wolfgang Seidel

"ACCREDITATION & VERIFICATION FORUM"
31 October 2013, Brussels



Site visits in 2013

Verification of AER 2013 requires a site visit

- Site visits are a key element of the verification; waivers need specific justification.
- AVR applies from 01 January 2013 for the first time in all MS.
- AER 2013 verification is the initial verification within the framework of the new MRR & AVR. All MPs are re-approved.
- Exception in Art. 31 AVR proves the rule in Art. 21; waiving in 2013 would mean to start with the exception.
- Site visits serve several purposes besides assessing emissions data, e.g.
 - Checking the installation boundaries
 - Assessing whether internal procedures required by the MRR are appropriate and implemented according to the MP
 - Identifying areas for improvement



Non-compliances with MRR

Reporting of all detected non-compliances with the MRR

- Starting point of AER verification is the approved **MP**; no complete assessment of the AER against the MRR (and other legal provisions) is required.
- However AVR requires assessment, whether
 - the AER is complete and in line with Annex X MRR
 - there are modifications of the MP which have to be notified to the CA pursuant to Art.
 15 MRR
 - internal procedures of the operator required by the MRR are comprehensive and consistent with those described in the MP and implemented accordingly.



- Detected non-compliances have to be reported
- Non-compliances with the MRR could have a material effect
- Uncertainties below the "threshold" of a non-compliance should be part of the recommendations for improvement



Accreditation/Verification with regard to the CIMs

Verification of "changes to the operation" is not adequately addressed

- CIMs is lacking a verification requirement concerning information on "changes to the operation of an installation" pursuant to Art 24 CIMs which can require adjustments to the allocation
 - Verification of the notifications by the operator should be made mandatory.
 - Gap was not adequately closed by MRR & AVR since only an indirect verification of information concerning capacity changes together with the AER is stipulated.
- Decision 2011/278/EC does not incorporate provisions for accreditation with regard to new entrants. There are no specific requirements for the verification of new entrants allocation.
- AVR only mentions scope 98 in Annex I. Further provisions would lack legal basis.





Thank you for your attention!

Dr Wolfgang Seidel

E-Mail: emissionstrading@dehst.de

Internet: www.dehst.de

