

# Improvement of compliance systems

**Machtelt Oudenes, SQ Consult** 

**6th EU ETS Compliance Conference Brussels, 5 November 2015** 





## **Approach**

- Review of CCEV 2014 project results, analysis of Article 21 reports and other relevant information in one joint assessment methodology
- Identification of opportunities to improve the implementation of Member States through:
  - bilateral follow-up with Member States
  - identification of items for discussion in TWG, CF conferences and training events
  - identification of issues that need to be picked up in the update of MRR and AVR guidance material
  - organisation of peer reviews



# A preview of the results



European Commission

<u>Commission</u>																															
MS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Complexity of the CAs																															
Complexity of accreditation system																															
Staff competence																															
Use of IT systems																															
Permitting and MP approval																															
Quality of the MP and monitoring																															
Quality of annual reporting																															
Checking AER, VR, IR																															
Verification quality																															
Accreditation																															
Information exchange CA- NAB																															
Quality of inspections																															
Quality of enforcement																															





#### Common M&R issues/ challenges

- Uncertainty assessment
- Sampling plan
- Risk assessment and operator's procedures
- How to address data gaps/ temporary changes
- Notification of MP changes
- Review emissions reports & improvement reports
- How to deal with specific issues in MP approval, e.g. unreasonable costs, application of tiers
- Conservative estimation of emissions
- Biomass





#### Common A&V issues/ challenges

- Information Exchange under Chapter VI AVR
- Classification and reporting of misstatements, non-conformities, non-compliances and recommendations of improvement
- Verifier's time allocation
- Operator's requirement to submit relevant information to the verifier
- Verifier's role in assessing the sampling plan and uncertainty assessment
- Site visits and data gaps





## **General follow-up actions**

- Some issues may be appropriate for future training events/webinars
- Recent A&V Training Event shows that training and capacity building can be welcomed and improve MS compliance
- TFs can play a role in training and webinars either in organisation and/ or providing experts
- Most issues identified are already on the work plan of CF TFs (e.g. IT development, sampling plan, specific issues such as steel scrap EF)

Action

• TF input into COM guidance update



## Thank you for your attention

#### Contact:

Robert.Gemmill@ec.europa.eu

Joao.SERRANO-GOMES@ec.europa.eu

#### Consultant contact:

Project leader: M.Voogt@SQConsult.com

Task 1: Christian.Heller@Umweltbundesamt.at

Task 2: M.Oudenes@SQConsult.com

Task 3: C.Dekkers@SQConsult.com

Task 4: Hubert.Fallmann@Umweltbundesamt.at

