

### Results of the stakeholder consultations on the 3rd call for large-scale projects: manufacturing workshops and survey

#### Ewelina DANIEL, Policy Officer, CLIMA Carla BENAUGES, Policy Officer, CLIMA



## Workshops on manufacturing topic in 3LSC

- **4 workshops in June/July** took place on manufacturing of equipment and components for: (1) renewable energy, (2) heat pumps, (3) storage and (4) electrolysers and fuel cells:
  - Very good (hybrid) participation +/- 100 participants in each workshop
  - Helped us with outreach and will bring more projects to 3LSC
  - Reports/recordings will be publicly available
- Plenty of very interesting projects showcased, a number of which would be eligible for IF funding.
  - BUT still some confusion between scope of manufacturing, pilots and general decarbonisation topics (and "n-th of a kind/roll-out projects not targeted by the IF)
  - Many projects presented in workshop were about
    - **application of technologies** and not manufacturing (→decarbonisation topic)
    - pilots testing technology solution that subsequently can be produced at larger scale (→pilot topic).
  - Urgency to rollout innovative projects is well understood but for a number of projects time will be needed for Financial Close and Entry into Operation

# Workshops on manufacturing topic in 3LSC (cont'd)

- Projects show considerable diversity in type of equipment and components
  - We have still not fully reached out to smaller components manufacturers (for wind turbines, electrolysers or fuel cells).
  - Broad scope needs to be considered: e.g. for renewables equipment: connection to the grid, for geothermal: pipes casing technology and storage of heat, for heat pumps: refrigerants and flexibility solutions, for electrolysers/fuel cells: smaller components e.g. bipolar plates as well as entire modules.

#### Difficulties with GHG methodology

- Especially if for small component manufacturing assumptions have to be made for the whole electricity/heating system (e.g. electrolysers running hours, fossil fuel displaced)
- Difficulties with Relevant Costs methodology.
  - Preference for "No Reference" methodology in case no reference product exists or is very different from the new one proposed.
  - In some cases, reference products are not commoditised or are more expensive than the new ones proposed.

Commission

# Workshops on manufacturing topic in 3LSC (cont'd)

- Importance of reducing the dependence on critical raw materials
  - Caution needed as most of current technologies require critical raw materials with strong trade dependencies
  - Some projects are, however, able to demonstrate reduction of use, recycling approaches or even production of rare materials as a side product of activity (e.g., Li in geothermal)
- *Pilot projects* are likely to be costly and do not achieve big absolute GHG savings.
- *Pilots* could be penalised by absolute GHG savings and Cost Efficiency award criteria.
  - Proposal to apply "Vindeby" test (first offshore wind farm) to pilot projects
- A range of other useful points made, from very generic to very specific:
  - Difficulties in making successful application and highly competitive nature of the programme
  - Difficulties with tackling well "contribution to policy objective criteria" and "absolute emissions reductions"
  - Repetitions in applications forms
  - Very specific points e.g. on assessing revenue streams for energy storage



### Survey results : Main areas selected are renewable energy and carriers pilots and manufacturing for renewable power generation

#### **Selection of areas**



 Mid size pilots Clean Tech manufacturing
\* Many projects selected several areas resulting in a higher number of data points than answers



# Most projects start at TRL 6-7 and envision reaching TRL 9 at the end of the project

#### Starting TRL level



TRL level after project



■4 ■5 ■6 ■7 ■8 ■9 ■Prefer not to answer



### 86% of projects need most of all grants with about 2/3 needing less than €100 million and 1/3 from €25 to €100M

#### Type of funding





#### Size of Funding

Commission

Most projects expect to reach FID by 2023 and enter into operation before 2027

#### When do you expect to reach a Final Investment Decision?

## When do you expect to be able to enter into operation?





## A quarter of projects have already secured funding, while another quarter have already applied for funding

#### **Stage of Funding**



- Prefer not to answer
- Secured funding
- Pending confirmation of funding
- Secured some funding and applied for others
- Applied for funding

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)