



Recommendations and Conclusions

**2nd 2012 Compliance Forum Event
1st and 2nd October 2012**

Conclusions Session I

- *There are some specific areas where more guidance would be welcome, taking into account timelines*
 - ✓ *Guidance for CA e.g. validation checklists*
 - ✓ *Guidance on procedures, frequency of analysis,*
 - ✓ *Guidance on biomass estimation methods/ default values*
 - ✓ *Improvement report templates*
 - ✓ *Guidance on new sectors and conservative estimation*
- *There is a need to absorb and gain experience with existing guidance and templates*

Conclusions Session I – cont.

- *A helpdesk for specific questions on MRR and AVR would be useful*
- *TF Monitoring could play a role in such a helpdesk and FAQ e.g. by filtering out relevant questions*
- *Better use should be made of SharePoint as platform for questions and information exchange by MS*
- *Use of SharePoint should be further promoted in the Compliance Forum by using the alert function concerning updates*

Conclusions Session II

- *There is a need to identify the CA focal point in each MS and to publish its contact details and those of NABs and/or National Certification Authorities on a central website*
- *The database to be set up by NABs is an important tool where operators, aircraft operators and CAs can find information on accreditations, suspensions, withdrawals of accreditation certificate and changes in the scope of accreditation*

Conclusions Session II

- *Also for AV guidance there is a need to absorb and gain experiences with existing guidance and templates*
- *There is a need to further clarify the role of the CA with respect to Accreditation and Verification (checklist)*

Conclusions Session III

- *There are great advantages in using an IT system for all parties in the compliance chain*
- *Use of IT workflow systems should be promoted to convince other MS and their management of their usefulness. A common business case could be useful*
- *XETL is instrumental in exchanging information and must be updated to MRR and AVR (Phase III)*

Conclusions Session III cont.

- *Standardisation, governance and a good in-house infrastructure is important in having IT systems work properly and exchanging information in an efficient manner*
- *Good specifications and a clear understanding of the semantics (definitions) is important for a proper use of IT systems*

Conclusion Session III cont. 2

- *A common understanding of XETL is necessary*
- *Training and helpdesk could be useful in the beginning when setting up the system*
- *Future applications could be integration with other systems such as registry, national inventory*
- *It is important to promote the use of the Eurocontrol Support Facility since it can reduce verification costs, in particular for small emitters*

4th Compliance Conference

- *The first week of June (4, 5, 6th) would be an appropriate time for the next Compliance Conference*
- *Should the next Compliance Conference be organised by a MS instead of the Commission?*
- *Is there interest in organising the next Compliance Conference; which MS are prepared to host the conference?*
- *A clear view on how this could be organised is needed as soon as possible*
- *Please send concrete proposals for organising the 2013 Compliance Conference to the Commission by 2 November 2012 (see next slide)*

Contact details:

CLIMA-ETS-Compliance-Conference@ec.europa.eu

Robert.Gemmill@ec.europa.eu

Tiziana.Frongia@ext.ec.europa.eu