

Outcomes and Follow-up on the 2nd Compliance Conference 3-4 September 2009 "*Going forward together*"

Chris Dekkers

Coordinator EUETS Compliance Forum Secretariat

Brussels, 18 September 2009

Compliance Forum

Setting of the Conference

Venue: Borschette Meeting & Conference Centre

Setting:Thursday 3 September: discussions in four workshopsFriday 4 September: presentations/discussions in plenary

- **Attendance:** 120 representatives of Competent Authorities and Member States and the Commission
- **Objective:** Allow free discussion and exchange of view on critical issues affecting the compliance of EU ETS
- Aim: Make major steps towards coordinated and common Compliance

Workshop Organizers/Rapporteurs

Aviation - UK / Howard Leberman Workshop I MRG issues - Ger: Matthias Wolf/Doris Tharan Workshop II **Workshop III** Verification & Accreditation - Ro: Ana Balanescu **Workshop IV** Data collection Nl/Be Julia Williams – Tomas Velghe Art. 21 report & compliance: Commission M.Loprieno Day II E-reporting : Finland: Seppo Oikarinnen Day II Presenting SharePoint: Ger/NL: Doris Tharan Day II Members CF Steering Committee + Martin Bigg **Moderators:**

Outcomes Workshop I: Aviation

- Small operators too much effort for too little CO₂
- Commission List problematic & needs corrections
- Tonne-KM data required at end of 2009. Operators who are not on the list but should be, pose a problem
- MS need to share more information
 - contact details for operators,
 - approach taken on interpretation issues
- Commission steer needed on debt recovery between MS and criteria when MS should apply for an Operating Ban

Recommended next steps

AITF III to continue enforcement & sanctions activities

- First recommendation is to develop light touch regulatory approach for small emitters
 - Raise issue at WG III and if endorsed, draft proposal to Commission for amendment of MRV decision: France to take the lead
- Web based forum (SharePoint) to discuss issues and flag queries during follow-up MP determination process:

Identify MS approaches & share information on operators

Outcomes Workshop II and proposed next steps

• 13 MRG issues identified to give interpretation problems

- all CAs have similar problems in implementing and enforcing ETS directive and MRG.
- sharing experiences will resolve some issues directly whereas others need further investigations

Priority issues:

- Transferred CO₂ How to avoid leakages out of emissions trading system?
- Highest accuracy vs. default factors
- What efforts needed to arrive at common solutions & keep ETS fair for all?
- Determination of biomass

Recommended Next Steps

- New Task Force lead by DEHSt support from NL-UK-PL to resolve outstanding issues
- Notes on lower priority issues to be circulated by end of September 2009

Outcomes Workshop III

Need for consistent approach to accreditation/surveillance and verification related to a wide range of issues:

- man/days calculation, strategic and risk analysis and site visit;
- evaluation of verifiers activity need for level playing field way and common approaches by CAs towards verifiers
- MS approaches on rejection of emission reports dealing with not verified emission report;
- inconsistent and/or different interpretations of the MRG requirements
- cost pressures on verifiers and the effect on the quality of the verifications
- verification policy for aviation
- missing professional scepticism and independence of the verifier;

Proposed next steps WS III

Establishing Task Force dealing with key issues:

- Promote greater consistency regarding MS approaches to accreditation and verification activities through
 - Sharing experiences-case studies/best practices availability
 - Developing guidance, templates and, FAQs (e.g. material nonconformities)
- Provide support to the Commission's development of the Verification and Accreditation and Supervision of Verifiers Regulation

Outcomes of Workshop IV

Questionnaire & draft paper Ecofys identified 4 main issues:

- Legal issues and interpretation
 Methodology
 Data collection process
 Quality requirements and verification
- Data collection exercise needed for adjustment of the EU-wide cap <-> Allocation issues
 - Additional guidance on the data collection by EC not to be expected
 - ✓ MS will have to deal with their own uncertainty
 - Setting up of new Task. Force would be useful to formulate common approaches

Proposed next steps WS IV

Tasks of the new Task Force on New Sources & Gases

- ✓ Develop Q&A document with main focus on the scope no need for additional work on MRG.
- ✓ Clarify and propose best practice solutions from those MS that are already at the stage of final legislation (e.g. reference year, focus on "big installations", sanctions yes or no)
- ✓ Develop data collection templates with associated guidance for operators, verifiers and CA staff who have to review and make these available to any MS that wants them

Revising art 21 report towards compliance Recommended:

- Redefine art 21 report towards a high level quality compliance and management instrument
- Establish link with ongoing and new EC and CF/IMPEL capacity-building projects on compliance
- Explore how peer-review process can be developed for art 21 report using the UNFCCC inventory reviews and the EA peer review system as examples

Actions to be undertaken by

- DG ENV: finalise revision of 2006 questionnaire. Start consultancy project to explore peer review approach in relation to compliance issues of art 21 report
- DG ENV/MS-CAs establish a CF task-force to explore practical arrangements for pilot peer-review exercise with limited number of countries (2-3 MS)
- NL will seek partners/countries to organize together workshop to discuss options

Developing E-reporting format

Presenters & Expert Panel proposed follow-up actions:

- Commission and MS to develop together architectural Blueprint for XETL for next 5 to 10 years with aim to give certainty to all XETL Stakeholders
- Commission to lead on establishing Governance arrangements for XETL with International panel to address long term vision and a TWG to lead day to day issues
- Development of Guidance for XETL use/data exchange ; Communications Plan to all possible users; obtain "buy in" from participants ; address the Security Issues

Recommended steps:

- Cion: Ensure (legal) embedding of governance and support the development of common services, i.e templates and data dictionary to meet Art 21 & other LT requirements
- Compliance Forum: share lessons and provide platform for common software projects
- Member States/CAs: Assess impact of IT systems and software development; run pilots (software, tools and schema) and establish links with E-PRTR a.o.

Communication

Communication between Compliance Forum, Task Forces and Competent Authorities in the Member States

- DEHSt (Germany) and NEa(NL) evaluated the options for setting up a communication platform for Forum: MS SharePoint came out as the best choice
- DEHSt & NEa to start SharePoint following the accord by the Steering Committee and the Compliance Forum (04/09/09) in the pilot phase
- Feedback from users of SharePoint will be sought and highly appreciated

Next steps:

- DEHSt and NEa will continue development of communication
- Aim is to have SharePoint fully operational by end of September 2009

Compliance Forum Summary of conclusions & recommendations

Chair concluded, also on behalf of Steering Committee:

- Successful conference, high level discussions and involvement of the conference participants – 120 participants from 23 MS and from Iceland and Norway
- Conference showed that major efforts by CAs and Cion will be needed to prepare for the 3rd trading period & ensure that compliance processes withstand future pressures
- Many proposals for follow-up and for new Task. Forces did come forward, more than can be accommodated in view of human and financial resources
- Steering Committee will discuss what can be done with resources available, which Task Forces will be prioritized, who will take the lead and which tasks can be delayed