

# 5<sup>th</sup> EU ETS Compliance Conference: promoting best practice through knowledge sharing and cooperation

Presentation and discussion on Peer Review project - Host feedback and experience







#### Overview

- Arrangements for the peer review
- EU ETS Peer Review SWOT
- Host perspective
  - Organisation
  - Sharing
  - Outcomes
- Conclusion





## Arrangements for the peer review

EC's Invitation was made to PT in March, but date scheduled to 25<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> of September 2014

- To involve the ETS team and other Institutions
- Logistics: room
   reservation, booking of
   the reviewers shuttle,
   coffee-breaks,
   workshop dinner,
   invitation to other
   entities
- Review of the methodology report

- List of topics provided by the consultants was very helpful
- One week before the event

Preparation of the presentations

Preparation of the event (between July and September 2014)





#### **EU ETS Peer Review – "SWOT"**

#### **Strengths**

- Reviewers are EU-ETS experts
- To exchange views
- Find out best practices

#### Weaknesses

 Recommendations for improvement

#### **Opportunities**

- To clarify some doubts
- To have immediate feedback from other MS

#### **Threats**

- Overlap with the compliance review
- Auditing exercise













#### **Organisation**

- PT's peer review very well prepared by the consultants and the review team
- COM as observer appreciated (for more direct feedback on the MS issues and on opportunities for improvements), but participation should be an option from the host country (PT had also that option)







- No parallel discussions was very helpful
- One person from the expert team leading the discussions very welcomed
- Peer-review should stay voluntary







- Information exchange between peers and mutual learning much appreciated
- Knowing that our doubts are the same as other MS (knowing that we are NOT alone!)
- Discussion on issues that are the major concern for the host
- Free dynamic discussion is more open than asking advice via email or during the meetings







- Very useful recommendations
- Nice to have feedback on best practices
- Peer review very different from the Compliance review (even more useful for the MS)
- Really not an auditing exercise





#### Conclusion

# Very useful exercise!



This project should continue to be supported by the Commission and MS







Climate Change Department
Mitigation and Carbon Markets Unit
Ana Daam
ana.daam@apambiente.pt