

# Accreditation Scope 98

AVR Annex I: 'Other activities pursuant to Article 10a of Directive 2003/87/EC'

Naomi Walker

Environment Agency (England, UK)

Compliance Forum Conference November 2016

# Scope 98

- ➔ Issue: the status of 'scope 98' in Annex 1 AVR is not clear. There are differences between MS in terms of accreditation to scope 98.
- ➔ Solution:
  - ➔ clarify the status of scope 98
  - ➔ Make reference to AVR in CIMs or extend scope of AVR to allocation data

# Clarify accreditation scope 98

Pros	Cons
Clarity on the state and meaning of scope 98	Increased administrative burden for verifiers
Harmonisation because accreditation to scope 98 will be required in all MS	Accreditation requirement could decrease verifier capacity
Improved instruments to ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Quality of verifiers</li><li>• Quality of verification data</li></ul>	Verifiers that accredited for the verification of AER already have sufficient knowledge and competencies to verify allocation data within that sector for the purposes of annual emission reporting

# For discussion

- Do you require accreditation to scope 98?
  - Please explain why?
- What is your experience of the checking of the correct application and implementation of the procedures referred to in Article 12(3) of the MRR and the checking of information on any planned or actual changes to the capacity, activity level and operation of the installation
  - Have you implemented any additional requirements for operators and/or verifiers?
- What are your views on amending the CIMs or extending the scope of the AVR to include allocation data