Outcomes, Conclusions & Recommendations from Break-Out Session III - Aviation

4th Compliance Conference, June 2013

Brussels 4th June 2013

Moderator: Enno Harders

Rapporteur: Saviour Vassallo

Discussions focused on ...

Effort involved vs results (Ireland formula):

 $(CAO + GAEmMP + SE/LE)*\Delta list*(VCAP + GAEmR)*P_{2012}/P_{2013} - STC =$

HAPPY TO GET AN AEm PLAN APPROVED/VERIFIED AEm REPORT!

Where:

CAO: contacting aircraft operators

GAEmMP: getting AEm plans on time

SE/LE: small emitters vs large emitters

Δlist: changes in list of aircraft operators

VCAP: verification capacity

GAEmR: getting AEm reports on time

P₂₀₁₂; P₂₀₁₃: Phase 2; Phase 3

STC: stop-the-clock



Conclusions arrived at:

- Learning curve:
 - All stakeholders have a role
 - Important to explore and apply best practices
- Focus must shift to aspects other than solely numbers (emissions)
- Enforcement wrt aviation -> lessons learnt for stat. installations?
- Some of the issues for CAs:
 - Relatively high compliance from an emissions perspective ...
 - but significantly high rate of non-compliance from an AO number perspective
 - Pragmatism vs strict legal interpretation/implementation
 - Issues with level of quality of verification role for NABs/NCBs
 - How to improve monitoring plans in order to enhance confidence in submitted reports

Recommendations to the Plenary:

- Need to focus on next step: improving quality of monitoring plans
- Continue building on coordination re implementation of STC/enforcement
- Role of CAs: beyond "monitoring" and enforcing compliance:
 - Providing "service" to aircraft operators
 - Best use of help desk functions
 - Strengthen communication with aircraft operators/verifiers
 - Use of available tools e.g. ETS Support Facility; ERE tool
- Simplification esp. for small emitters:
 - The need to reduce "administrative" costs
 - Recommendation for TF Aviation to look into approaches for simplifications