

Final Review Report

2020 Comprehensive Review of National Greenhouse Gas Inventory Data

pursuant to Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 and to
Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC

Belgium

30 August 2020



Contents

Conclusions from the 2020 comprehensive review	3
National totals for the purpose of Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC (ESD)	5
National totals for the purpose of Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (ESR)	6
Statement from Belgium on the conclusions presented by the TERT.....	7
Greenhouse gas emissions covered by Decision 406/2009/EC (ESD)	8
Greenhouse gas emissions covered by Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (ESR)	9
Recommendations from the TERT, considering revised estimates and technical corrections deemed necessary by the TERT	10
Revised estimates provided by Belgium and accepted by the TERT	11
Annex I: Legal background and procedures of the 2020 comprehensive review	13
Annex II: Checks carried out during the 2020 comprehensive review in line with Art. 29, 32 and 33 of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 749/2014	16

List of tables

Table 1: Overview of issues raised with Belgium during the first and the second step.....	4
Table 2: National totals for the purpose of Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC	5
Table 3: National totals for the purpose of Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842	6
Table 4: Greenhouse gas emissions for the purpose of Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC	8
Table 5: Greenhouse gas emissions for the purpose of Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (ESR)....	9
Table 6: Recommendations from TERT (RE = Revised estimate; TC = Technical correction)	10

Conclusions from the 2020 comprehensive review

This Final Review Report presents the findings from the 2020 review of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission inventory of Belgium, pursuant to:

- Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (the 'Effort Sharing Regulation', ESR), for the purpose of setting out Belgium's annual emission allocations (AEAs) for the years from 2021 to 2030 in terms of tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, and
- Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC (the 'Effort Sharing Decision', ESD), for the purpose of verifying Belgium's GHG emissions and achievement of its GHG emission limitation target in the year 2018

The review was carried out as a comprehensive review in line with Article 19(1) of Regulation (EU) No 525/2013 (the 'Monitoring Mechanism Regulation', MMR). The global warming potentials applied are those from the IPCC Assessment Report 4.

The reviewers carried out checks to verify the transparency, accuracy, consistency, comparability and completeness of the national GHG inventory for the years 2005, 2016, 2017 and 2018 submitted in 2020 by Belgium pursuant to Article 7 of the MMR.

The review consisted of two steps. The initial checks in step 1 were performed by the EU inventory team (European Environment Agency (EEA), European Topic Centre on Climate Change Mitigation and Energy (ETC/CME), Joint Research Centre (JRC) and Eurostat). Step 2 was performed by a Technical Expert Review Team (TERT).

More information on the Effort Sharing legislation and the procedures for the 2020 comprehensive review is presented in the annexes of this review report.

Belgium did not provide a resubmission to the Commission.

Step 1 and 2 conclusions

1. The reviewers raised 52 issues with Belgium during the first and the second step of the 2020 comprehensive ESD review (see Table 1). The TERT provided recommendations for 3 of these issues. Other issues raised during the comprehensive review were clarified and are considered non-issues for the ESD review 2020.
2. The TERT identified cases where inventory data were prepared in a manner which is inconsistent with UNFCCC guidance documentation or Union rules. In particular, the TERT identified a number of under- or over-estimates exceeding the threshold of significance pursuant to Article 31 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 749/2014.
3. Belgium provided 2 revised estimates that were accepted by the TERT. Table 2 and Table 3 below summarise the revised estimates and further information is provided in the respective chapter of this report.
4. The TERT did not deem necessary any technical corrections in the meaning of Article 19(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) No 525/2013.
5. The TERT identified non-binding recommendations in order to improve the national inventory data of Belgium (see Table 6).
6. The TERT considers that it received a response from Belgium that was sufficient in order to undertake the comprehensive review appropriately.

Table 1: Overview of issues raised with Belgium during the first and the second step

	Issues raised step 1 ¹	Issues raised step 2	Recommendations	Revised estimates ²	Technical corrections ³
Total	27	25	3	2	-
Energy	10	5	-	-	-
IPPU	7	12	-	-	-
Agriculture	6	1	-	-	-
Waste	4	7	3	2	-
Cross-cutting	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Excluding findings related to Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) and Kyoto Protocol (KP) LULUCF.

² Revised estimates: changes in inventory estimates triggered by the review, which were provided by the country and accepted by the TERT.

³ Technical corrections: changes in inventory estimates triggered by the review and provided by the TERT.

National totals for the purpose of Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC (ESD)

Table 2: National totals for the purpose of Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC

Emission source category	Reference	Emission estimates (kt CO ₂ equivalent) ¹ 2018
Total greenhouse gas emissions, including indirect CO ₂ , without Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry, without international aviation, as reported by Belgium pursuant to Article 7(4) of Regulation (EU) No 525/2013, taking into account any resubmission to the Commission	BEL_2020_1_09042020	118 455.738
Difference between original estimates and revised estimates provided by Belgium and accepted by the TERT²		
5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH ₄	BE-5A-2020-0007	-65.993
5D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge, CH ₄	BE-5D-2020-0002	66.757
Total greenhouse gas emissions including revised estimates		118 456.503
CO ₂ emissions from 1A3a Domestic Aviation ³	BEL_2020_1_09042020	19.250
NF ₃ emissions ³	BEL_2020_1_09042020	0.646

¹ The tables presented in this report show numbers rounded to three decimal places, although most numbers are available with greater precision. For all calculations (in particular of total GHG emissions and total ESD emissions), all available decimal places were used. Therefore, the totals shown may slightly differ from calculation results where only three decimals are taken into account.

² A positive difference indicates an increase compared to reported emissions. A negative difference indicates a decrease compared to reported emissions.

³ Included in the totals. NF₃ was included in the comprehensive review (see Table A-1) for the purpose of the ESR, but has to be deducted for the purpose of ESD.

National totals for the purpose of Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (ESR)

Table 3: National totals for the purpose of Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842

Emission source category	Reference	Emission estimates (kt CO ₂ equivalent) ¹			
		2005	2016	2017	2018
Total greenhouse gas emissions, including indirect CO ₂ , without Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry, without international aviation, as reported by Belgium pursuant to Article 7(4) of Regulation (EU) No 525/2013, taking into account any resubmission to the Commission	BEL_2020_1_09042020	146 251.988	118 172.436	118 005.105	118 455.738
Difference between original estimates and revised estimates provided by Belgium and accepted by the TERT²					
5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH ₄	BE-5A-2020-0007	-157.216	-75.196	-73.240	-65.993
5D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge, CH ₄	BE-5D-2020-0002	67.179	65.855	65.898	66.757
Total greenhouse gas emissions including revised estimates		146 161.950	118 163.095	117 997.763	118 456.503
CO ₂ emissions from 1A3a Domestic Aviation ³	BEL_2020_1_09042020	17.661	10.753	11.450	19.250

¹ The tables presented in this report show numbers rounded to three decimal places, although most numbers are available with greater precision. For all calculations (in particular of total GHG emissions and total ESR emissions), all available decimal places were used. Therefore, the totals shown may slightly differ from calculation results where only three decimals are taken into account.

² A positive difference indicates an increase compared to reported emissions. A negative difference indicates a decrease compared to reported emissions.

³ Included in the totals.

Statement from Belgium on the conclusions presented by the TERT

Belgium agrees with the aggregated GHG emission inventory estimates presented in Table 2 and Table 3.

Greenhouse gas emissions covered by Decision 406/2009/EC (ESD)

Table 4: Greenhouse gas emissions for the purpose of Article 3 of Decision No 406/2009/EC

Emission source category	Reference	Emission estimates (kt CO ₂ equivalent) ¹ 2018
Total greenhouse gas emissions including any accepted revised estimates provided by Belgium and any technical corrections deemed necessary by the TERT	See Table 2 above	118 456.503
Total verified emissions from stationary installations under Directive 2003/87/EC	Extracted by the European Commission from EUTL on 9 March 2020 (as agreed at the Working Group I of the Climate Change Committee on 18 May 2015) ²	44 182.748
CO ₂ emissions from 1A3a Domestic Aviation	See Table 2 above	19.250
NF ₃ emissions	See Table 2 above	0.646
Total ESD emissions		74 253.859

¹ The tables presented in this report show numbers rounded to three decimal places, although most numbers are available with greater precision. For all calculations (in particular of total GHG emissions and total ESD emissions), all available decimal places were used. Therefore, the totals shown may slightly differ from calculation results where only three decimals are taken into account.

² The emissions of ETS stationary installations were independently verified and recorded in the EU Transaction Log (EUTL). These emissions do not derive from the national greenhouse gas emission inventory data and therefore the TERT was not tasked to review them.

Greenhouse gas emissions covered by Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (ESR)

Table 5: Greenhouse gas emissions for the purpose of Article 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2018/842 (ESR)

Emission source category	Reference	Emission estimates (kt CO ₂ equivalent) ¹			
		2005 ³	2016	2017	2018
Total greenhouse gas emissions including any accepted revised estimates provided by Belgium and any technical corrections deemed necessary by the TERT	See Table 3 above	146 161.950	118 163.095	117 997.763	118 456.503
Total verified emissions from stationary installations under Directive 2003/87/EC	Extracted by the European Commission from EUTL on 9 March 2020 (as agreed at the Working Group I of the Climate Change Committee on 18 May 2015) ²	55 363.232	43 655.728	43 772.976	44 182.748
CO ₂ emissions from 1A3a Domestic Aviation	See Table 3 above	17.661	10.753	11.450	19.250
Total ESR emissions		-	74 496.614	74 213.337	74 254.505

¹ The tables presented in this report show numbers rounded to three decimal places, although most numbers are available with greater precision. For all calculations (in particular of total GHG emissions and total ESR emissions), all available decimal places were used. Therefore, the totals shown may slightly differ from calculation results where only three decimals are taken into account.

² The emissions of ETS stationary installations were independently verified and recorded in the EU Transaction Log (EUTL). These emissions do not derive from the national greenhouse gas emission inventory data and therefore the TERT was not tasked to review them.

³ Due to changes in ETS scope and country coverage between 2005 and 2013, 'Total ESR emissions' cannot be calculated for 2005 by deducting 'Total verified emissions from stationary installations under Directive 2003/87/EC' and 'CO₂ emissions from 1A3a Domestic Aviation' from 'Total GHG emissions including any revised estimates and any technical corrections'.

Recommendations from the TERT, considering revised estimates and technical corrections deemed necessary by the TERT

Table 6: Recommendations from TERT (RE = Revised estimate; TC = Technical correction)

EMRT-ID	Key category	Category, gas, year	Recommendation	Revised estimate or technical correction in 2020
BE-5A-2020-0007	Yes	5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH ₄ , 2005-2018	For 5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH ₄ , 2005-2018 the TERT noted that Belgium quantifies emissions from managed Solid Waste Disposal Sites (SWDS), assuming no oxidation. In response to a question raised during the review, Belgium explained that they agree with using oxidation factor OX=0.1 for managed SWDS. Belgium provided revised estimates for years 2005, 2016, 2017 and 2018 for this issue and BE-5A-2020-0005 combined. The TERT agreed with the revised estimate provided by Belgium. The TERT recommends that Belgium include the revised estimate in its next submission.	RE
BE-5D-2020-0002	Yes	5D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge, CH ₄ , 2005, 2016, 2017, 2018	For 5D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge, CH ₄ , 2005-2018 the TERT noted that Belgium underestimates the share of wastewater treated using septic tanks in the Walloon region. In response to a question raised during the review, Belgium agreed with the observation and noted that in addition, part of the wastewater was collected and discharged directly without treatment. The emissions from this direct discharge were excluded from the inventory. Belgium provided a revised estimate for years 2005, 2016, 2017 and 2018 and stated that it will be included in the next submission. The TERT agreed with the revised estimate provided by Belgium. The TERT recommends that Belgium include the revised estimate in its next submission. The TERT also recommends that in the next submission, Belgium include in its calculation of wastewater directly discharged to sea, the correction factor "I" for additional industrial BOD discharged into sewers of 1.25. The TERT notes that incorporation of the factor "I" will lead to a change below the threshold of significance.	RE
BE-5A-2020-0005	Yes	5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH ₄ , 2005, 2016, 2017, 2018	For category 5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH ₄ , 2005-2018 the TERT noted that Belgium uses a value of DOC in waste landfilled before 1984 which is insufficiently justified. In response to a question raised during the review, Belgium explained that the values assumed are defaults as specified in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. The TERT considered that for time series consistency, a value based on the earliest available Belgian analysis is preferred. This issue was addressed in the revised estimates for BE-5A-2020-0007 for years 2005, 2016, 2017 and 2018. The TERT agreed with the revised estimate provided by Belgium. The TERT recommends that Belgium include the revised estimate in its next submission.	No

Revised estimates provided by Belgium and accepted by the TERT

1

ESD Review Tool ID:	BE-5A-2020-0007
ESD Review Tool URL:	https://emrt-esd.eionet.europa.eu/2020/BE-5A-2020-0007
Country:	Belgium
Sector:	5A Solid Waste Disposal
Gases:	CH ₄
Fuel	N/A
Completed by Sector Expert:	Hans Oonk
Reviewed by Counterpart:	Céline Gueguen
Reviewed by Lead Reviewer:	Suvi Monni
Reviewed by Quality Controller:	Justin Goodwin

The underlying problem:

The TERT noted with reference to 5A Solid Waste Disposal, CH₄, 2005-2018 and the NIR, page 249, that Belgium over-estimates methane emissions from solid waste disposal sites (SWDS), because oxidation of methane at managed landfills is not taken into account. During the review, an additional issue was observed on the trend in DOC in time in Flanders (BE-5A-2020-0005). Here an autonomic decrease is assumed in the time period 1970-1990. This decrease is explained by Belgium as being 'conservative' (resulting in an over-estimation of emissions). However, the emission estimates should be as accurate as possible instead of conservative. Belgium provided a revised estimate, which was accepted by the TERT.

Summarise the methodology used:

Emissions are estimated using the IPCC Waste models for the Walloon region and Flanders. Separate estimates are made for managed and unmanaged landfills and for managed landfills oxidation is assumed to be 10%. In addition, time series continuity was improved for DOC (for the years 1984 and before) and amount MSW generated (for years 1970 and before), by using the earliest available information on waste generation and composition in Belgium instead of the IPCC defaults.

2

	Original estimate (Gg CO ₂ e)								Notes
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃	Mixed GHG	
2005		1 934.232							
2016		886.498							
2017		855.354							
2018		772.299							

	Revised Estimate received from country (Gg CO ₂ e)								Notes
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃	Mixed GHG	
2005		1 777.015							
2016		811.302							
2017		782.115							
2018		706.307							

	Difference between RE and OE (Gg CO ₂ e)							
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃	Mixed GHG
2005		-157.216						
2016		-75.196						
2017		-73.240						
2018		-65.993						

ESD Review Tool ID:	BE-5D-2020-0002
ESD Review Tool URL:	https://emrt-esd.eionet.europa.eu/2020/BE-5D-2020-0002
Country:	Belgium
Sector:	5D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge
Gases:	CH ₄
Fuel	N/A
Completed by Sector Expert:	Hans Oonk
Reviewed by Counterpart:	Céline Gueguen
Reviewed by Lead Reviewer:	Suvi Monni
Reviewed by Quality Controller:	Justin Goodwin

1

The underlying problem:	The TERT noted with reference to 5D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge, CH ₄ , 2005-2018 and the NIR, section 7.5, that Belgium under-estimates methane emissions from septic tanks in the Walloon region. Statistics indicate that in the Walloon region large part of the population treats its wastewater individually. However, based on an interpretation of Walloon regulations for wastewater treatment, Belgium assumed that only 1% of the Walloon population uses septic tanks. The TERT did not agree with this interpretation of Walloon regulations and considered that the regulation still allows use of septic tanks in the Walloon region. Septic tanks are expected to be the main treatment method for uncollected wastewater and emissions should be calculated accordingly.
Summarise the methodology used:	Emissions of septic tanks are calculated, using available statistics on share of the Walloon population, whose wastewater is not collected, assuming that all uncollected wastewater is treated in septic tanks. In addition, part of the collected wastewater in Belgium is assumed to be discharged without treatment. Emissions are calculated, applying the IPCC defaults values for MCF for direct discharge and septic tanks.

2

Original estimate (Gg CO ₂ e)									Notes
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃	Mixed GHG	
2005		399.850							Only refers to 5D1
2016		191.123							Only refers to 5D1
2017		181.770							Only refers to 5D1
2018		178.259							Only refers to 5D1

Revised Estimate received from country (Gg CO ₂ e)									Notes
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃	Mixed GHG	
2005		467.029							Only refers to 5D1
2016		256.978							Only refers to 5D1
2017		247.668							Only refers to 5D1
2018		245.016							Only refers to 5D1

Difference between RE and OE (Gg CO ₂ e)								
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃	Mixed GHG
2005		67.179						
2016		65.855						
2017		65.898						
2018		66.757						

Annex I: Legal background and procedures of the 2020 comprehensive review

The Effort Sharing Decision No 406/2009/EC (ESD) sets national emission limits for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the sectors outside the EU's Emission Trading System (ETS) for the period 2013-2020. The ESD and the Monitoring Mechanism Regulation (EU) 525/2013 (MMR) lay down annual reporting obligations, compliance checks and a Union review process to ensure that the compliance with annual GHG emission limits is assessed in a credible, consistent, transparent and timely manner.

The requirements for the Union review of the national inventory data submitted by countries are set out in Article 19 of the MMR. The details concerning the review process, such as the timing and steps of conducting the annual and comprehensive reviews are set out in Chapter III and Annex XVI of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 749/2014.

The Effort Sharing Regulation (EU) 2018/842 (ESR) sets national emission limits for greenhouse gas emissions in the sectors outside the EU's ETS for the period 2021-2030. In Article 4(3) of the ESR, the Commission is required to adopt implementing acts setting out annual emission allocations (AEAs) for the period 2021-2030 in terms of CO₂ equivalents, for which it shall carry out a comprehensive review.

The 2020 Union review was thus held as a comprehensive review in line with MMR Article 19 (1) in concert with the Union review required by the ESR.

Objectives

The objectives of the comprehensive review of countries' GHG emission inventories in 2020 are:

- a) to support the European Commission by ensuring it has accurate, reliable and verified information on annual GHG emissions for
 - determining compliance with ESD targets for the years 2018 in a credible, consistent, transparent and timely manner, and for
 - setting out countries' annual emission allocations (AEAs) for the years from 2021 to 2030 in terms of tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, according to Article 4(3) of the ESR.
- b) to assist countries in improving the quality of their GHG inventories.

Procedures

The scope of the 2020 comprehensive review is presented in Table A-1. The checks carried out during the 2020 comprehensive review are presented in Annex II. The review consisted of two steps.

The Step 1 was combined with the 'EU QA/QC procedures' (i.e. initial checks) and was carried out by the EU inventory team (ETC/CME, JRC, Eurostat). All findings from the initial checks that were partly resolved or not resolved within the initial check phase were followed up in the second step of the review.

The EU inventory team consisted of the following experts:

- ETC/CME task manager: Nicole Mandl, Marion Pinterits (ETC/CME)
- Energy: Julien Vincent, Coralie Jeannot, Eva Krtková, Marion Pinterits, Matina Kastori, Giorgos Mellios, Markéta Müllerová, Bernd Gugele (ETC/CME), Michael Goll (Eurostat)
- IPPU: Barbara Gschrey, Lorenz Moosmann, Kristina Kaar, Lukas Emele, Maria Purzner, Ils Moorkens (ETC/CME)
- Agriculture: Adrian Leip, Janka Szemesová, Alexander De-Meij (JRC)
- Waste: Céline Gueguen (ETC/CME)
- LULUCF: Raúl Abad-Viñas (JRC)

- Quality coordinators: Adrian Leip, Giacomo Grassi (JRC), Bernd Gugele, Nicole Mandl, Marion Pinterits, Maria Purzner, Julien Vincent, Giorgos Mellios, Ils Moorkens, Kaat Jespers (ETC/CME)
- Cross-cutting: Nicole Mandl (ETC/CME)

Step 2 of the comprehensive review 2020 was performed by a Technical Expert Review Team (TERT) under service contract **340201/2019/814628/SER/CLIMA.C.2** of the Directorate General for Climate Action of the European Commission. The lead reviewers and sector review experts did not review emission inventories of countries where these individuals have themselves contributed to the compilation of that inventory, or presently are or have been any part of the decision-making process related to the compilation of that inventory. Reviewers who are nationals of the country whose inventory is concerned, did not take part in the review of that inventory.

The TERT consisted of the following experts:

- CRF categories 1A1, 1A2, 1A4, 1A5 (Stationary Combustion) + Reference Approach: Katrina Young, Julien Vincent and Stephan Poupa;
- CRF categories 1A3 Transport + 1D International Bunkers: Melanie Hobson, Jean-Marc André and Matina Kastori;
- CRF categories 1B Fugitive + 1C CO₂ Transport and Storage: Ioannis Sempos, Marlene Plejdrup and Marion Pinterits;
- CRF categories IPPU Fluorinated Gases: Barbara Gschrey, Jacek Skoskiewicz and Stephanie Barrault;
- CRF categories IPPU Other Gases than Fluorinated Gases: Emma Salisbury, Kristina Kaar and Wolfram Jörß;
- CRF categories 3A Enteric Fermentation and 3B Manure Management: Chris Dore, Steen Gyldenkerne and Bernard Hyde;
- CRF categories 3C-3J: Katalin Lovas, Etienne Mathias and Michael Anderl;
- CRF sector 5 Waste: Céline Gueguen, Elisabeth Kampel and Hans Oonk;
- Lead reviewers: Karin Kindbom, Suvi Monni, Ole-Kenneth Nielsen and Ralph Harthan;
- The following experts supported the team on request of the TERT: Tomas Gustafson (IPPU), Maria Purzner (F-gases), Beatriz Sanchez (Agriculture), Katja Pazdernik (Waste).

The second step of the review was coordinated by Bernd Gugele and Justin Goodwin.

The EEA review secretariat consisting of Melanie Sporer, Claire Qoul, Kirsten May, Justine Raoult and Henry Irvine prepared and coordinated the Union comprehensive review as foreseen in Article 28 of Commission Implementing regulations (EU) No 749/2014 and Article 42 of the Governance Regulation (EU) 2018/1999.

The step 2 of the review was performed on the basis of the 15 April submissions of GHG emission data and the national inventory report (NIR) under the Monitoring Mechanism. Resubmissions reported by countries were taken into account until 8 May 2020.

Where relevant, the TERT calculated technical corrections for over- or under-estimates identified in a mandatory category in the countries' GHG inventories that exceed the threshold of significance. Technical corrections have been calculated only for the years 2005 and 2016-2018. If the technical correction exceeds the threshold of significance for at least one year of the inventory under review (2005, and 2016-2018) but not for all the years the technical correction was calculated for all years under review in order to ensure time series consistency.

Table A-1: Scope of the comprehensive review 2020

Element	Scope	Further information
Countries	EU geographical coverage of the Member States, the United Kingdom, Norway and Iceland	
Years	2005, 2016, 2017, 2018	According to MMR Article 27(2); According to MMR Article 19(1); According to ESR Article 4(3)
Gases	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ , NF ₃	
Sectors	All emission source sectors excluding LULUCF	National totals exclude emissions from LULUCF and emissions reported under memo items
Indirect CO ₂ emissions	Included in national total	

Annex II: Checks carried out during the 2020 comprehensive review in line with Art. 29, 32 and 33 of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 749/2014

First step review checks:

1. Assessment whether all emission source categories and gases required under Regulation (EU) No 525/2013 are reported;
2. Assessment whether emissions data time series are consistent;
3. Assessment whether implied emission factors across Member States are comparable taking the IPCC default emission factors for different national circumstances into account;
4. Assessment of the use of 'Not Estimated' notation keys where IPCC Tier 1 methodologies exist and where the use of the notation key is not justified in accordance with paragraph 37 of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories as included in Annex I to Decision 24/CP.19;
5. Analysis of recalculations performed for the inventory submission, in particular if the recalculations are based on methodological changes;
6. Comparison of the verified emissions reported under the Union's Emissions Trading System with the greenhouse gas emissions reported pursuant to Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 525/2013 with a view of identifying areas where the emission data and trends as submitted by the Member State under review deviate considerably from those of other Member States;
7. Comparison of the results of Eurostat's reference approach with the Member States' reference approach;
8. Comparison of the results of Eurostat's sectoral approach with the Member States' sectoral approach;
9. Assessment whether recommendations from earlier Union or UNFCCC reviews, not implemented by the Member State could lead to a technical correction;
10. Assessment whether there are potential over-estimations or under-estimations relating to a key category in a Member State's inventory.

Second step review checks:

1. Detailed examination of the inventory estimates including methodologies used by the Member State in the preparation of inventories;
2. Detailed analysis of the Member State's implementation of recommendations related to improving inventory estimates as listed in its most recent UNFCCC annual review report made available to that Member State before the submission under review or in the final review report pursuant to Article 35(2) of this Regulation; where recommendations have not been implemented a detailed analysis of the justification provided by the Member State for not implementing them;
3. Detailed assessment of the time series consistency of the greenhouse gas emissions estimates;
4. Detailed assessment whether the recalculations made by a Member State in the given inventory submission as compared to the previous one are transparently reported and made in accordance with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories;
5. Follow-up on the results of the checks referred to in Article 29 of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 749/2014 and on any additional information submitted by the Member State under review in response to questions from the technical experts review team and other relevant checks.