Public consultation for the evaluation of the Ozone Regulation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

What is the Regulation about?

The <u>Regulation</u> implements the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, which is considered as the greatest environmental **success** story in the history of the United Nations. The objective is to **cut down the production and consumption of ozone-depleting substances** in order to reduce their abundance in the atmosphere and thereby **protect the earth's ozone layer**. In addition to the obligations that derive from the Montreal Protocol, the Regulation sets some additional measures.

The Montreal Protocol controls the production of these substances and their trade in bulk, whereas the Regulation is more ambitious and prohibits the *use* of the substances. Certain *uses* of these substances are still allowed (*exempted*) in the EU. Also, the Regulation controls substances not just in bulk, but also contained in products and equipment. It sets licensing requirements for all exports and imports of ozone-depleting substances. It controls and monitors not only ozone-depleting substances covered under the Montreal Protocol (over 90 chemicals), but also some ozone-depleting substances not covered under the Montreal Protocol (five additional chemicals called 'new substances').

What is the aim of the Regulation?

- Fulfil the obligations of the Montreal Protocol on substances that deplete the ozone layer to which the EU and its Member States are parties.
- Where technically and economically feasible, ensure higher level of ambition in the EU.

This questionnaire

It should take you about 20 minutes to complete this questionnaire.

This questionnaire will be open from the 1st of June until the 24th of August 2018.

You may answer the open questions in this questionnaire in any of the 24 official EU-languages.

If you wish to add further information (such as a position paper), you can do so at the end of this questionnaire.

About you

Spain

		ou are replying as an individual in your personal capacity in your professional capacity or on behalf of an organisation rst name
* 3	La	ast name
		mail address do not have an email address, please write "Not available".
* 5	Co	ountry of residence
		Austria
		Belgium
		Bulgaria
		Croatia
		Cyprus
		Czech Republic
		Denmark
		Estonia
		Finland
		France
		Germany
		Greece
		Hungary
		Ireland
		Italy
		Latvia
		Lithuania
		Luxembourg
		Malta
		Netherlands
	0	Poland
	0	Portugal
		Romania
		Slovakia
		Slovenia

Non-governmental organisation, platform or network
Research and academia
Churches and religious communities
Regional or local authority (public or mixed)
 International or national public authority
Other
*14 If "other", please specify:
ether, predes speen,
*15 How many employees does the company have?
More than 250 employees (Large enterprise)
Between 50 and 250 employees (Medium-sized enterprise)
Between 10 and 49 employees (Small enterprise)
Less than 10 employees (Micro enterprise)
Self-employed (Micro enterprise)
Gen-employed (whole enterprise)
*16 Please specify the type of organisation.
Chamber of commerce
Business organisation Trade Union
Trade Chief
Representative of professions or crafts
Other
* 4.7. If !!athau!! places are sife;;
*17 If "other", please specify:
*18 Please specify the type of organisation.
Think tank
Research institution
Academic institution
Other
Other
*19 If "other", please specify:
19 II Other, please specify.
*20 Please specify the type of organisation.
Regional public authority
Local public authority Rublic private sub-national organisation
Public-private sub-national organisation
Network of public sub-national authorities
Other

*21 If "of	ther", please specify:
* 22	as angelfy the type of examination
_	se specify the type of organisation.
_	ergovernmental organisation
_	institution, body or agency
_	tional parliament
_	tional government
○ Nat	tional public authority or agency
If your orga	our organisation included in the Transparency Register? anisation is not registered, we invite you to register here , although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this on. Why a transparency register ?
O Yes	
O No	
O Not	applicable
*24 If so	, please indicate your Register ID number.
	, produce monocute year regioner in the management of the managemen
*25 Cou	ntry of organisation's headquarters
O Aus	stria
Bel	gium
_	garia
O Cro	patia
© Cyr	prus
_	ech Republic
_	nmark
© Est	onia
Fin	land
Fra	nce
© Ge	rmany
_	eece
Hui	ngary
_	and
Ital	y
Lat	
	nuania
_	kembourg
◎ Ma	
	therlands
	and
_	tugal

Romania
Slovak Republic
Slovenia
Spain Spain
Sweden
United Kingdom
Other
*26 If "other", please specify:
*07.V
*27 Your contribution - in line with the Privacy Statement (to be found on the consultation website), Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under Regulation (EC)
N°1049/2001 can be published with your organisation's information (I consent the publication of all information in my
contribution in whole or in part including the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication)
can be published provided that your organisation remains anonymous (I consent to the publication of any
information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that it is done
anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that
would prevent the publication.
28 Would you be willing to provide additional information in a follow-up e-mail/telephone call?
Yes, please feel free to contact me regarding this questionnaire.
No, I prefer not to be contacted regarding this questionnaire.
How effective is the Regulation?
The following questions relate to the assessment of the extent to which the Regulation has achieved its objectives. The key objectives of the Regulation are to meet the obligations of the Montreal Protocol (i.e. reduce the consumption of ozone-depleting substances) and to ensure higher level of ambition in the EU where feasible.
29 I am aware of the requirements of the Regulation.
Strongly agree
☐ Agree
Neither agree or disagree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know
30 To what extent do you agree that the Regulation has contributed to the reduction of the consumption
of ozone-depleting substances in the EU?
Strongly agreeAgree

Neither agree or disagree
■ Disagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know
E DO HOUNTOW
31 Please explain your answer to the previous question.
32 Do you think that factors other than the Regulation have contributed to reducing the consumption of ozone-depleting substances in the EU?
Yes
□ No
Do not know
LI DO NOT KNOW
*33 If "yes", please describe those factors.
34 The Regulation in the EU imposes more requirements than those required by the international agreement (so-called Montreal Protocol). To what extent do you agree that this has led to a more effective reduction in the consumption of ozone-depleting substances?
Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree or disagree
☐ Disagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know
35 Please explain your answer to the previous question.
36 The Regulation controls and monitors not only ozone-depleting substances covered under the Montreal Protocol (over 90 chemicals), but also some ozone-depleting substances not covered under the Montreal Protocol (five additional chemicals: halon-1202; n-propyl bromide; ethyl bromide; trifluoromethyl iodide and methyl chloride). To what extent do you agree that these new substances should be controlled under the Regulation?
Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree or disagree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know

37 Please explain your answer to the previous question.

38 Are you aware of any substances that are not controlled under the Regulation but, in your opinion, should be covered because they harm the ozone layer?
39 Are you aware of any unintended consequences of the Regulation?
39 Are you aware or any unintended consequences or the riegulation:
40 To what extent do you think that more should be done in the EU on regulating ozone-depleting
substances in the EU?
Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree or disagreeDisagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know
E DO NOT KNOW
41 Please explain your answer to the previous question.
41 Trease explain your answer to the previous question.
Alternatives to ozone-depleting substances
Alternatives to ozone-depleting substances
The following questions relate to the assessment of whether the Regulation is still relevant to current needs. It focuses on the provisions of the Regulation and in particular on current technological developments and new alternatives to the use of ozone-depleting substances.
42 To your best knowledge, are there any alternatives available on the market, which are technically are
economically feasible? Yes
No
Do not know
Do not know
*43 If yes, please specify the alternative chemical, the substance it replaced, its use and the respective
To it you, ploade openly the alternative enemied, the education it replaced, its deciding the respective
technology.
technology.
technology.
44 To your best knowledge, do you know whether there are any alternatives under development?

* 45	If yes, specify the alternative chemical and add information on the status or possible contacts.

Do not know

46 To what extent do you agree with the following statement about alternatives for ozone-depleting substances?

	Strongly agree (due to Regulation only)	Agree (mainly due to Regulation)	Neither agree or disagree (many factors contributed)	Disagree (mainly other factors contributed)	Strongly disagree (other factors contributed only)	Do not know
Alternatives became available because ozone- depleting substances are controlled by the Regulation	•	•	•	•	•	•
There is progress in finding alternatives because ozone-depleting substances are controlled by the Regulation	•	©	•	•	•	•

17 Please explain your ans	swer to tl	ne prev	ious question.	
uality of the Regul	ation			
egislation and with other	EU inte	erventio ectives.	ons, and the in Information o	e of the Regulation with international ternal coherence of the Regulation in n the regulatory burden from the Regulat
d standards including legi	slation c ary overl	oncerni	ng climate cha	interacts with other international agreement age, pollution from ships and from aviation. A ctions between the Regulation and related
	Yes	No	Do not know	
Overlaps (duplications)	0	0	0	
Contradictions	0	0	©	
Gaps	0	0	©	
nissions, labelling and pac	s with ot kaging, ctions be	her EU and leg	islation in other the Regulation Do not	standards concerning waste, industrial areas. Are you aware of any unnecessary and related EU legal instruments?
	Yes	No	know	
Overlaps (duplications)	0	0	0	
Contradictions	0	0	©	
Gaps	0	0	©	
1 If yes, please explain yo	our answ	er.		
52 Are you aware of any g	ans or co	ontradio	tions in the Re	ulation itself?

	Yes	No	Do not know
Contradictions	0	0	0
Gaps	0	0	0

Added value of regulating at EU-level

licated, burdensome or costly?	e unneces	sariiy	
	Yes	No	Do kn
Apply for licences or authorisations	0	0	(
Apply for quota	0	0	(
Register for essential laboratory and analytical uses (labODS)	0	0	(
Control leakage and emission when using the ozone-depleting substances	0	0	(
Follow technical requirements during reclamation and destruction of ozone-depleting substances	0	0	(
Label containers and equipment with ozone-depleting substances	0	0	(
Undergo national inspections	0	0	(
Report annually	0	0	(
Stop using HCFC for refrigeration etc. (phase-out)	0	0	(
Stop using methyl bromide for quarantine and pre-shipment (phase-out)	0	0	(
Stop using halons in certain firefighting equipment (phase-out)	0	0	(
Other (please explain below)	0	0	(

The following questions relate to the assessment of the added value resulting from this EU intervention compared to what could reasonably have been expected from Member States acting at national/regional level.

56 Industries across the EU have the same obligations with regard to controlling ozone-depleting
substances. To what extent do you agree that this is an advantage compared to a situation where different
Member States would have different regulations with regard to ozone-depleting substances?
Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree or disagree
☐ Disagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know
57 Please explain your answer to the previous question.
Thease explain your answer to the provious question.
58 To what extent do you agree with the following statement: regulating ozone-depleting substances at
EU level is more efficient (less costly) than it would be if regulated at national level only.
Strongly agree
☐ Agree
Neither agree or disagree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Do not know
59 Please explain your answer to the previous question.
60 In your opinion, what would it be like if the EU Regulation was not in place and ozone-depleting
substances were regulated at national level? Would this challenge effective functioning of the internal
market?
Document upload and final comments

61 Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper. The maximum file size is 1MB.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

62 If you wish to add further information — within the scope of this questionnaire — please feel free to do so here.

1000 character(s) maximum