









## INCREASED PREDICTABILITY IN EMISSIONS TRADING

**3rd ECCP Meeting of the WG on Emissions Trading** 

Brussels, 21 May 2007

#### Dr. Owen Wilson

Vice-Chairman of the Environment and Sustainable Development Committee



## **Basic commentary**



- Main requirement for ETS operators in capital intensive industries is to have predictability around the boundary conditions which set the long-term price of an EUA
- Not requesting a predictable price but are looking for clarity and stability in the principles and rules defining those supply-side factors that help set the price
- In this context, issues concerning the specific allocation methodology, treatment of new entrants and plant closures etc., while highly important, are secondary to the above



# Controllable factors setting EUA price

#### Regulatory principles/rules governing:

- The total quantum allocated to the trading sector
- Reduction trajectory in the total quantum allocated over time
  - » Binding overall GHG target to 2020
  - » Political overall GHG objective to 2050
- Changes in the allocation methodology

#### Political guidelines governing:

- The allowed use of JI/CDM
- Support to specific technologies/fuels
- Linkage to other schemes
- Transition to increased auctioning

## **Current status**



- No certainty about future overall quantum until NAP process completed
- Indirect linkage of ETS cap to binding overall GHG targets (Kyoto, 2020) or objectives (2050)
- Allocation methodology open to unpredictable change every 5 years
- Poorly defined limitation on the use of JI and CDM
- Funding support for technologies/fuels open to national/ other influences
- No substantive rules covering linkage to other schemes
- Absence of direction on approach to auctioning post 2012

### **Focus of Directive revision**



- Stability in rules determining the split between the trading and non-trading sectors (the ETS cap)
  - To include how addition of new sectors is to be treated
- Stability in rules determining the allocation trajectory
  - Transparent cap-setting rules for overall ETS going forward
- Well-signalled changes in the methodology of allocation
- No restrictions on the use of JI or CDM
  - If restricted, then linked to targets
- Well-signalled direction on energy RD&D and technology support schemes
- Known conditions/principles for linkage to other schemes
- Well-signalled changes in percentage auctioning, coordination of auctioning
- Opportunity to comment on future developments