



Practical Experiences from a Verifier's Perspective

2014 ACCREDITATION AND VERIFICATION FORUM

Werner Betzenbichler

Topics

- ✓ The conversion from personal accreditation to company-based accreditation
- ✓ Operating a verification body in practice
- ✓ Frequently seen difficulties in MRV

Conversion of Accreditation Scheme

- ✓ New challenges especially in Germany
- ✓ Discussion on accreditation process lasted until autumn 2012
- ✓ Accreditation process has been completed by all verifiers in Q1 /2014 only
- ✓ Three options for previously individually accredited verifiers:
 - ✓ Being harbored by one of the larger verification bodies
 - ✓ Allying and forming a new verification body
 - ✓ Use the newly established model for individual accreditation

Conversion of Accreditation Scheme (2)

- ✓ Impacts for operators
 - ✓ Increase in verification fees (accreditation costs and independent review process)
 - ✓ Almost everybody kept the former (individual) verifier
 - ✓ Long-lasting contract risk
- ✓ Impacts on quality of verifications
 - ✓ Learning process for many experts
 - ✓ Improved reproducibility by harmonised procedures
 - ✓ But this effect depends on the complexity of the operator's activities

Operating a Verification Body

- ✓ Requirements set by the AVR
 - ✓ Most is known from other certification schemes
 - ✓ But some exceptions like the role of the independent reviewer
 - ✓ Competence of staff is key to minimise verification risks
- ✓ Specific challenges in 2013 verifications
 - ✓ Need for familiarisation with internal forms and templates
 - ✓ New monitoring plan format in Phase III
 - ✓ Scheduling the independent review process when reviewers also work as verifiers
 - ✓ Pre-contractual obligations and further formalities

Operating a Verification Body (2)

- ✓ New challenges outside regular verification
 - ✓ Early scheduling of verifications
 - ✓ Internal monitoring, evaluation, mentoring
 - ✓ Experiences exchange and qualification of new staff
 - ✓ Witness activities and surveillance

Frequent seen difficulties

- ✓ Verification teams
 - ✓ Inappropriate documentation of performed assessments
 - ✓ Omissions in the use of confirmations and evidences
 - ✓ Subjectivity when issuing recommendations for improvements
 - ✓ Sampling in verification
- ✓ Independent review process
 - ✓ Perceived differences in the robustness of approaches applied by diverse verification companies
 - ✓ Need for updating checklists
 - ✓ Urgency did not enable experience exchange along Q1 /2014
→ missing feedback for individual reviewers

Frequent seen difficulties (2)

- ✓ Monitoring and Reporting
 - ✓ Late (belated) adjustments of monitoring plans
 - ✓ Inadequate risk assessment
 - ✓ Inadequate documentation of control procedures
 - ✓ Late reaction on data gaps and any need for corrections
 - ✓ Missing internal checks / audits
 - ✓ Determination of uncertainties

Any Questions?

verico SCE

Werner Betzenbichler

Bahnhofstrasse 7

85354 Freising

Werner.Betzenbichler@verico.eu

www.verico.eu