



# **10<sup>th</sup> EU ETS Compliance Conference**

## ***Report on break-out session IV***

**Implementation of the FAR - lessons learned  
Zorana Komar**

## Summary break-out session IV-(i)

*Various approaches from different MS how they set up the organisational process*

*BE Flanders presented their approach (approval of MMP before submission of BDR - historical data)*

*BG and SK did not approve the MMP before submission as many other MS- verifiers validated the MMP*

*However common line was:*

- **FAR workshop for operators and verifiers**
- **Translation, adoption and sending to operators MMP and BDR Templates**
- **Deadline for submission of applications for free allocations – +- one month**
- **Feedback from CA on the baseline data report and correction if needed**
- **Submission of NIMs**



# Summary break-out session IV (ii)

*Common main challenges across MS*

- **insufficient knowledge of FAR for operators and verifiers**
- **Guidance docs came in too late so they have little time to prepare**
- **operators often do not read the requirements (in the template) indicated in blue,**
- **staff changes on CA, operators and verifiers side**



## Summary break-out session IV (iii)

*Common main quality examples identified in assessment of MMP and BDR:*

- **incorrect split into sub-installations,**
- **mistakes in the sub-installation boundaries,**
- **double counting of a source stream,**
- **errors in carbon leakage status,**
- **errors in PRODCOM and NACE codes,**
- **mistakes in establishing the start of operation,**
- **CHP tool not completed correctly**
- **unclear description of the applied methodology,**
- **incorrect application of the hierarchical order of data sources,**
- **unclear and incomplete procedures**



# Conclusions break-out session IV

- *MS discussed that there are still opportunities for improvement for the next BDR and AALR*
- *Lessons learned and conclusions*  
*Parties must be prepared before the cycle starts so early communication, trainings and guidance are important*
- *Guidance of FAR must be updated because new issues are arising from the evaluation of NIMs*
- *Quick guide on MRV and AVR are available but not on FAR so it is difficult to find your way through the guidance material*
- *Assessment needs to be made on whether guidance can be further streamlined.*