

Evaluation of ETS Aviation Workshops and steps to strengthen compliance through common approaches

8 February 2012



Content

- Workshops - Agenda and participation
- Content of the workshops
- Main findings from the sessions
- Main conclusions from the workshops
- AOB



Workshops with the aviation competent authorities – Participation and agenda

3

- 13-15 December 2011
- 30 countries attended (27 Member States, NO, IS and HR participated)
- Content:
 - Group exercise on checking of emission and tkm reports
 - Group exercise on enforcement
 - COM update on implementation
 - Discussion on the draft Administration Guidelines

Checking of reports and verification



Discussion on checking of reports

- Three different kind of checks:
 - Full checking
 - High level checks
 - Random checks
- Checking of reports necessary
- List of checks would be helpful
- MSs asked for further discussions on checks of monitoring plans and reports
 - Possible automatic checks
 - Diagrams and tools developed by DE
- Interest in using an IT-system like UK, IE, DK and DE



Discussion on verification of reports

- Feedback on verification
 - Wrong advice by verifiers to aircraft operators
 - “Verification” by non-accredited verifiers
 - Verifiers who “wrote” the reports
 - Lack of experience
 - “Verification” of reports without approved monitoring plan
- Identified need to give feedback to the verifiers/accreditation body
 - Feedback sessions
 - Feedback in written form
 - MSs interested in preparing a harmonized “feedback paper” to the verifiers
 - Some MSs suggested organizing trainings with verifiers
- MSs proposed offering the verifiers a web-based forum to share knowledge

Enforcement



Discussion on enforcement

- Main challenges indentified by the MSs:
 - Identification of aircraft operators and contacting them
 - Quality of data in Eurocontrol's ETS support facility
 - Small aircraft operators
 - Political pressure
 - Leasing arrangements
 - Different competent authorities are responsible in the Member States
 - Enforcement relating to third countries/territoriality
- MSs proposed to agree on priorities
- MSs identified the need to coordinate between them
 - Discussion could be continued, e.g. in a working group (part of the TF Aviation)

Implementation

Discussion on the draft Administration Guidelines



Guidelines relating to the administration of aircraft operators

11

- Legal basis: Art. 18a (4) of the Directive
- Commission's published FAQs as a basis
- Includes:
 - Legal status of the list of aircraft operators
 - Change of administrative responsibility between Member States' Competent Authorities
 - Best practice checks of reports



Comments from the Member States

- First discussion on the draft administration guidelines during the aviation workshops
- Comments received so far from SE, MT, FI, ES, NL and PL
- Reminder to provide us with comments
 - by: End February 2012
 - to: yvonne-christine.schmidt@ec.europa.eu

Main conclusions from the workshops



Main conclusions from the workshops

- Verification should be improved
 - Proposed harmonised feedback paper
- Checking of reports
 - Sharing of best practices
 - Automatic checks and use of IT systems would help
- Coordinated approach relating enforcement (Working group of the CF Aviation Task Force)
- We intend to organise further workshops in Autumn 2012

A.O.B.



Emission reports – further checks

- Letters sent to all 25 administrating countries without IT-system
- COM asked for further checks of emission reports, e.g.
 - Misinterpretation by aircraft operators of “*third country*” (meaning: non-EEA country)
- If applicable please provide us with the updated and verified reports by **16 March**

Table 9d

(d) Kaikkien niiden lentojen hiilidioksidipäästöt, jotka saapuvat EU:n ulkopuolelta johonkin jäsenvaltioon:
 Täyttäkää alla olevaan taulukkoon asianmukaiset tiedot raportointivuodelta.

Lähtövalio	EU:n saapumis-jäsenvaltio	Polttoainekohtaiset päästöt [t CO ₂]			
		Kerosiini (jet A1 tai jet A)	L	M	N
IN	FI	45.461			
IS	FI	67			
JP	FI	165.220			
KR	FI	54.928			
NO	FI	8.405			
NO	SE	529			
RU	FI	11.095			
SG	FI	296			
TH	FI	111.411			
TN	FI	1.367			
TR	EE	22			
TR	FI	9.271			
UA	FI	1.383			
US	FI	48.309			
Valitkaa	Valitkaa				
Valitkaa	Valitkaa				

Drop-down list not used, country name typed in,

Not recognisable by DCT

Norway is listed as a third country in Table 9d instead of listing it as an EEA country in Table 9c.

This leads to mistakes in the calculation of auctioning shares



DCT will accept the report in the following cases

- Emission figures in table 5b and table 9b differ by **1 t** (rounding error)
 - Difference of more than 1 t will be rejected
- AO has used the **old EU 27 template** but
 - did not perform domestic flights in Norway or Iceland or between third countries and Norway/Iceland
 - Norway/Iceland are listed as EEA countries in table 9c (not as third countries in table 9d)
- AO has used the **ICAO 2-letter codes** for countries,
 - this means that the countries have not been chosen from the drop-down list,
 - but the template was filled using Eurocontrol's Support Facility

Thank you for your attention

Yvonne C. Schmidt
European Commission, DG CLIMA