Latvia


1) Key takeaways

- In 2021, GHG emissions in Latvia were 3.7% below 2019 pre-pandemic levels.
- Over the same period, ETS and Effor Sharing emissions decreased by 17.2% and by 0.1%, respectively.
- Net GHG emissions (i.e. including LULUCF) in 2021 were 51.3% lower than 1990 levels.
- The LULUCF sector removed 0.19 MtCO2-eq on average per year from 2013 to 2020, based on accounting.

2) Greenhouse gas emissions





In 2021, approximated domestic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in Latvia were 10.7 MtCO2-eq, 2.4% higher compared to 2020 but 3.7% below pre-pandemic levels. Overall, net domestic emissions, including the Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector, were 51.3% lower than 1990 levels.




Total domestic GHG emissions					
	1990 (MtCO2-eq)	2005 to 1990 (% change)	2019 to 2005 (% change)	2021 to 2019 (% change)	2021 to 1990 (% change)
Latvia	26	-58%	2%	-4%	-59%
EU	4847	-6%	-21%	-4%	-29%
Total net domestic GHG emissions (including LULUCF)					
Latvia	14	-80%	-20%	14%	-51%
EU	4633	-13%	-26%	-10%	-33%

Note: GHG emissions and removals for 1990-2020 are based on data submitted by EU Member States to the UNFCCC under Regulation (EU) No 525/2013. Figures may change following resubmissions. GHG emissions for 2021 are based on abbroximated GHG inventories.






3) Greenhouse gas emissions by sector



	1990 (MtCO2- eq)	2005 to 1990 (% change)	2019 to 2005 (% change)	2021 to 2019 (% change)	2021 to 1990 (% change)
Energy	6.3	-67%	-12%	-21%	-77%
Industry	4.6	-67%	2%	-2%	-67%
Transport	3.0	2%	7%	-3%	6%
Buildings	5.9	-72%	-9%	5%	-73%
Agriculture	5.0	-64%	23%	2%	-55%
Waste	0.7	-14%	-12%	1%	-24%
LULUCF	-12.3	-53%	-59%	-179%	-116%
International aviation	0.2	-19%	169%	-50%	8%


Notes: (1) Energy sector refers to electricity and beat production and petroleum refining. (2) Industry includes fuel combustion in manufacturing and construction and emissions in industrial processes and product use. (3) Buildings include emissions from energy use in residential and tertiary buildings, and energy use in agriculture and fishery sectors.

In 2021, the highest contribution to net GHG emissions in Latvia came from the Transport sector (25%), followed by the Agriculture sector (18%) and the LULUCF sector (15%). Emissions from sectors under the Effort Sharing Regulation (ESR) were 81% compared to 62% for the EU as a whole (see shares in the charts below).



4) Emissions under the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS)

In 2021, stationary installations (e.g. power generation and manufacturing industry) in Latvia emitted 2.1 million tonnes of CO2-eq emissions (equal to 19% of Latvia's total GHG emissions). This is 2.1% higher compared to 2020 but 17.2% below pre-pandemic levels. By 2021, emissions from stationary installations were down by 22.1% against 2013 level (i.e. - 28.2% to 2005 levels). Aviation emissions covered by the EU ETS were 39.4% higher compared to 2020 but 44.1% below 2019 level.




In parallel, Latvia has raised over EUR 0.3 billion in auction revenues since 2013, available for further climate action and energy transformation. Latvia reported that an average of 41% of revenues was spent for climate and energy purposes over the same period.(*)

Mt CO2-eq	2013	2020	2021
Power installations	1.7	1.1	1.2
% change since 2013	-	-33.0%	-28.5%
Industrial installations	1.0	0.9	0.9
% change since 2013	-	-7.3%	-10.8%
Aviation (**)	0.28	0.17	0.23
% change since 2013	-	-41.2%	-18.0%

^{(*) 100%} of revenues go to the EAAI, a national green investment scheme aimed at tackling global climate change. Reported spending shows actually disbursed amounts per year, all leftovers are carried over to future years.

(**) ETS emissions from aviation include flights within the European Economic Area (EEA) and outgoing flights to Switzerland and to the UK.

5) Emissions in Effort Sharing sectors



Note: (1) Verified emissions based on annual inventory review under the Effort Sharing Decision (ESD). (2) Projections as reported by Member States under Reg. (EU) 2018/1999, compiled and checked by the EEA. (3) ESR base-year emissions and targets have been approximately converted into GWP AR4 for comparability. For these reasons, the distances to targets for 2030 are provided for illustrative purposes only (4) WEM = with existing measures, WAM = with additional measures.

In 2021, effort sharing approximated emissions in Latvia were 8.6 MtCO2eq (equal to 81% of Latvia's total GHG emissions), 2.4% higher than in 2020 but 0.1% lower than 2019 pre-pandemic level.


Between 2013 and 2019, Latvia's emissions have always been below the annual limits.

In 2020, effort sharing emissions in Latvia were below the annual limit.

6) Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF)



Reported quantities under the Kyoto Protocol for Latvia show net removals of -2.0 Mt CO2-eq on average per year for the period 2013 to 2020. In this regard, Latvia contributes with 0.6% to the annual average sink of -320.2 Mt CO2-eq of the EU-27. Accounting for the same period depicts net debits of, on average, -0.2 Mt CO2-eq, which represents 0.2% of the EU-27 accounted sink of -83.4 Mt CO2-eq. Reported net removals decrease sharply in 2014 and thereafter show very small increasing trends with high fluctuations. Accounting quantities show less fluctuation with stable net credits with a slight decrease for 2019 and 2020. Accounting quantities show a similar pattern, with net credits for 2013 becoming substantial net debits for 2014 that thereafter gradually decrease with high fluctuations.



Notes: (1) Charts based on the submissions delivered until May 2022. (2) Data reported for the period 2013-2020, for mandatory and elected LULUCF activities, were submitted by Member States to the European Environment Agency (EEA) and underwent a simulated accounting process developed by the Joint Research Centre (JRC), together with DG CLIMA. (3) Reported data represent the gross annual flux of greenhouse gas from the sector, by activity, according to the IPCC methods for calculation in the framework of the Kyoto Protocol (KP). Accounting is aimed at assessing the impact of policies on climate actions on the actual data, for example as an increase in the sink within the Forest Management activity. (4) The simulated accounting process does not take into account any adjustments or flexibilities that a Member State may apply, for example the purchase of KP credits.

The dominating reported activity is Forest Management with removals. Emissions by Deforestation are sizable; removals by Afforestation/Reforestation are negligible. Removals by Forest Management decrease from -6.6 Mt CO2-eq in 2013 to -1.0 Mt CO2-eq in 2014 and an average of -2.4 Mt CO2-eq in the years thereafter with a slightly increasing trend. This links with an increase in harvest rates determined by demand and price of roundwood assortments in the local and export markets.

In terms of accounting, Forest Management credits in the 8-year period are capped to -0.92 CO2-eq per year. Debits by Deforestation are on average slightly higher; credits by Afforestation/Reforestation are lower in absolute terms but their contribution makes the Latvia 8-year total accounting a small net credit of -0.19 CO2-eq per year.


7) Financing Climate Action



Cohesion policy

Latvia's Planned Financing for Climate Actions

(EU financing & national co-financing - 2021-2027 Cohesion Policy)



The chart presents information on investment plans and achievement targets from adopted programmes. Financing for cohesion policy uses a categorisation to provide thematic information on the finances planned.

Source: https://cohesiondata.ec.europa.eu/

Innovation and Modernisation Fund


Innovation Fund (Portfolio of signed projects)

	n.	EUR million
Small Scale Projects	-	-
Large Scale Projects	-	-
Modernisation Fund	n.	EUR million
(List of confirmed or approved		

Recovery & Resilience Facilities

RRF allocations	Grants:	Loans:	% of GDP
(EUR billion)	1.83	_	5.6

RRF contribution to the Green pillar in Latvia (€ bn)



This graph displays: 1) the estimated cost of measures attributed by the Commission, in consultation with the Member State, to the green pillar either as primary or secondary assignments; and 2) how disbursements under the RRF (excluding pre-financing) relate to the green pillar.

Source: https://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/recovery-and-resilience-scoreboard/index.html?lang=en