### EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

This document contains the responses for the survey. The survey contains **4** initial questions (A-D) to identify respondents and **86** questions for which responses will be made public. Contact details provided in Question C, are not made public and therefore are not in this document.

### **Period of consultation**

From 3 June 2009 to 3 August 2009 inclusive

#### Specific privacy statement

"Received contributions, together with the identity of the contributor, will be published on the Internet, unless the contributor objects to publication of his or her personal data on the grounds that such publication would harm his or her legitimate interests. In such cases the contribution may be published in an anonymous form. Otherwise, the contribution will not be published nor will, in principle, its content be taken into account. Responses for questions deemed confidential in the consultation will not be available for view on the website irrespective of contributor objecting or not."

#### Thank you

*Note:* Zero's on the right hand side of page reflect Non-Applicable questions in the survey response.

#### Section 1: Questions to categorize participants

#### **Question A**

Name of Company/Organization: Corus (Tata Steel Europe )

Principal nature of activities: Steelmaking and processing

#### Number of employees in 2008:

World-wide: Europe-wide: 25000

#### Turnover in 2008:

World-wide: Europe-wide:

### Question B

#### Type of respondent:

Company operating one or more installations covered by the EU ETS Industrial sectors Approx Annual Emissions: 31400000 tCO2

#### Question C

#### Contact details will not be made public.

#### Question D

Do you object to publication of your personal data because it would harm your legitimate interests? No

If so, please provide an explanation of the legitimate interests that you think will be harmed:

Ans:

Are any of your responses confidential? No

If so, please indicate which ones and provide an explanation: Ans:

### Section 2: Survey questions (86)

#### Question 1

As a general rule throughout the trading period, in your opinion, are early auctions necessary? Yes

*If so, what should the profile of EUA auctions be?* Ans: 5-10% in year n-2, 10-20% in year n-1, remainder in year n

#### Question 2

**Do you think there is a need to auction futures?** Yes

#### If so, why?

Ans: In some circumstances and for a minority of auction volumes, if it removes barriers to power companies offering supply contracts.

#### Question 3

### What share of allowances should be auctioned spot and what share should be auctioned as futures for each year?

SPOT FUTURES

Year n Year n-1 Year n-2

#### Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: See answer to previous questions (Q1 and Q2 in word document version)

NB: The answer to this question will be published as part of the public consultation. Please do not submit confidential information as part of your answer to this question.

#### Question 4

Should the common maturity date used in futures auctions be in December (so the maturity date would be December in year n, both when auctioning in year n-2 as when auctioning in year n-1)?

Yes

If not, please suggest alternative maturity dates and provide evidence to support your view.

Ans:

For spot auctions: What should be the optimum frequency of auctions? Ans: Other. Please Specify: Daily

*What should be the minimum frequency of auctions?* Ans: Other.

Please Specify: Daily

### *What should be the maximum frequency of auctions?* Ans: Monthly

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans: We strongly favour a high frequency of audits so as to minimise market disruption of any individual auction (increasing price stability) and provide market liquidity (i.e. daily). It is accepted that there may have to be some practical deviations from this principle to cover very low activity periods e.g. Christmas

#### **Question 6**

For spot auctions, what should be the: If deemed appropriate, please indicate a range and/or distribution over different sizes.

**Optimum auction size?** Ans:

*Minimum auction size?* Ans:

*Maximum auction size?* Ans:

#### Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: Equal division of available allowances. We strongly support the principle of "little and often" auctions so as to minimise market disruption of any individual auction (increasing price stability) and provide market liquidity. If this approach is undertaken then there is no major issue with respect to the issue raised in Q11 (in Word document version of questionnaire)

<u>Question 7</u> For futures auctions:

#### *What should be the optimum frequency of auctions?* Ans: Other. Please Specify: Daily

#### *What should be the minimum frequency of auctions?* Ans: Other. Please Specify: Daily

#### What should be the maximum frequency of auctions? Ans: Monthly

0

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans: We strongly support the principle of 'little and often', so as to minimise market disruption and provide market liquidity( i.e. daily). If this approach is undertaken then there is no major issue with respect to the issue raised in Q11 (in Word document version of questionnaire)

#### Question 8

For futures auctions, what should be the: If deemed appropriate, please indicate a range and/or distribution over different sizes.

**Optimum auction size?** Ans:

*Minimum auction size?* Ans:

*Maximum auction size?* Ans:

#### Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: Equal division of available allowances. We strongly support the principle of "little and often" auctions so as to minimise market disruption of any individual auction (increasing price stability) and provide market liquidity. If this approach is undertaken then there is no major issue with respect to the issue raised in Q11 (in Word document version of questionnaire)

#### Question 9

Should volumes of spot allowances be auctioned evenly throughout the year? Yes

### If not, how should volumes be distributed? (more than one answer possible) Please specify:

- [] A larger proportion in the first 4 months of the year
- [] A larger proportion in December
- [] A smaller proportion in July and August

#### [] Other.

#### Question 10

In case futures are auctioned, should the volumes for spot and futures auctions be spread over the year in the same manner? Yes

#### If not, how should they differ? (more than one answer possible)

- [] No futures auctions less than six months before the maturity date.
- [] A larger proportion in December.
- [] A smaller proportion in July and August.
- [] Otherwise?

#### Question 11

Does the Regulation need to have provisions to avoid holding auctions during a short period of time before the surrendering date (30 April each year)? No

*If yes, how long should this period be:* Ans: No Response

In case futures are auctioned, should there be similar provisions with respect to the period immediately prior to the maturity date? No

If yes, how long should this period be:

Ans: No Response

<u>Question 12</u> Which dates should be avoided? Please specify the dates you have in mind in your answers.

[X] Public holidays common in most Member States?

Ans: Other than significant public holidays , there should not be a major issue if the principle of 'little and often' is followed.

[] Days where important relevant economic data is released? Ans:

[] Days where emissions data are released? Ans:

[] Other? Ans:

#### Question 13 Is a harmonised 10-12 hrs CET auction slot desirable? Yes

#### If not, what alternative(s) would you suggest?

Ans:

#### Question 14

How long in advance should each element of the calendar be determined? Please provide arguments to support your case.

#### Annual volumes to be auctioned:

2 years in advance

Ans: The key issue here is to get frequency and amounts pre-determined and set,

#### Distribution of annual volumes over spot and futures (if applicable):

2 years in advance

Ans:

#### Dates of individual auctions:

1 year in advance

Ans:

Volume and product type for individual auctions: 2 years in advance

Ans:

### *Each auctioneer carrying out auction process (if more than one):* 1 year in advance

Ans:

#### Question 15

What should be the volume of allowances to be auctioned in 2011 and 2012?

in 2011: \_\_\_\_% of the 2013 volume and \_\_\_\_% of the 2014 volume in 2012: \_\_\_\_% of the 2013 volume and \_\_\_\_% of the 2014 volume

#### Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: See answer to question Q1 (in Word document version of questionnaire)

#### What percentage of these shares should be auctioned as futures?

in 2011: \_\_\_% of the 2013 share and \_\_\_% of the 2014 share in 2012: \_\_\_% of the 2013 share and \_\_\_% of the 2014 share

#### Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: See answer to question Q1 (in Word document version of questionnaire)

#### Question 16

#### What should be the rule with respect to allowances not auctioned due to force majeure?

Ans: Other

Please Specify: They should be distributed equally over subsequent auctions with a 3 to 6 month rolling horizon, though leaving flexibility as to which auction(s) the EUAs should be added

#### Question 17

Is 1,000 allowances the most appropriate lot size? Yes

If not, why not? Ans:

#### Question 18

Is a single-round sealed-bid auction the most appropriate auction format for auctioning EU allowances? Yes

If not, please comment on your alternative proposal? Ans:

#### **Question 19**

*What is the most appropriate pricing rule for the auctioning of EU allowances?* Ans: Uniform-pricing.

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans: This type of auction lowers transactions costs, preserves bidder anonymity, increases understanding of the price-formation process and helps to avoid any possible collusion. In addition, as there will be many auctions in the ETS and an effective secondary market also exists, bidders do not face a one-shot game where there is only one chance to have a successful bid

#### Question 20

Should the rules for solving ties in the Regulation be: Ans: pro-rata re-scaling of bids

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

#### Question 21

#### Should a reserve price apply?

Yes

#### **Question 22**

In case a reserve price would apply, should the methodology/formula for calculating it be kept secret? No

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: A reserve to act as a 'floor' and not destabilise the market, if linked to the secondary market price for example, 85% of the average price over previous month. The mechanism of linkage to the secondary market needs to be transparent

#### **Question 23**

Is a maximum bid-size per single entity desirable in a Uniform-price auction? No

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: 'Little and often' auctions on a European wide common platform should provide sufficient liquidity and avoid abuse of the market

*Is a maximum bid-size per single entity desirable in a discriminatory-price auction?* No Response

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Not applicable

#### **Question 24**

If so, what is the desirable bid-size limit (as a percentage of the volume of allowances auctioned per auction): Ans: No Response

*Please comment on your choice.* Ans:

#### Question 25

In case only one of the two following options would be chosen, to limit the risk of market manipulation or collusion, which one would be preferable? Ans: No Response

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Neither. Intervention here is not necessary if the secondary EUA market functions properly, as it currently does

# Are the following pre-registration requirements appropriate and adequate? Identity:

[X] Natural or legal person;

[X] Name, address, whether publicly listed, whether licensed and supervised under the AML rules; membership of a professional association; membership of a chamber of commerce; VAT and/or tax number;

- [X] Contact details of authorised representatives and proof of authorisation; and
- [X] CITL-Registry account details.
- [] Anything else?

0

#### Declarations with respect to the past 5 years on absence of:

[X] Indictment or conviction of serious crimes: check corporate officers, directors, principals, members or partners;

- [X] Infringement of the rules of any regulated or unregulated market;
- [X] Permits to conduct business being revoked or suspended;
- [X] Infringement of procurement rules; and
- [X] Infringement of disclosure of confidential information.
- [] Anything else?

0

#### Declarations and submission of documentation relating to:

- [X] Proof of identity;
- [X] Type of business;
- [X] Participation in EU ETS or not;
- [X] EU ETS registered installations, if any;
- [X] Bank account contact details;
- [X] Intended auctioning activity;
- [X] Whether bidding on own account or on behalf of another beneficial owner;
- [X] Corporate and business affiliations;
- [X] Creditworthiness;
- [X] Collateral; and
- [X] Whether it carries out transactions subject to VAT or transactions exempted from VAT.
- [] Anything else?

0

#### Question 27

**Do you agree that the pre-registration requirements for admittance to EU auctions should be harmonised throughout the EU?** Yes

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: It is essential that there must be a level playing field and that auction integrity is maintained

### Should the amount of information to be supplied in order to satisfy the pre-registration requirements for admittance to EU auctions depend on the:

- [] means of establishing the trading relationship;
- [] identity of bidder;
- [] whether auctioning spot or futures;
- [] size of bid;
- [] means of payment and delivery;
- [X] anything else?

Please specify: distinction between compliance buyers and 'others'

#### If so, what should the differences be?

Ans: In general there should be no differentiation however some distinction between compliance buyers and 'others' may provide some practical help

#### Question 29

Should the bidder pre-registration requirements under the Regulation apply in the same manner irrespective of whether or not the auctioneer is covered by the MiFID or AML rules?

No

#### If not, why not?

Ans: This question appears irrelevant since the rules which apply to the auctioneer do not apply to participants

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans:

#### Question 30

Do you agree that the auctioneer(s) should be allowed to rely on pre-registration checks carried out by reliable third parties including: Yes

- [] Other auctioneers?
- [] Credit and/or financial institutions?
- [] Other

0

### Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

#### Question 31

In order to facilitate bidder pre-registration in their home country, should the auctioneer(s) be allowed to provide for pre-registration by potential bidders in other (or all) Member States than the auctioneer's home country e.g. by outsourcing this to a reliable third party?

Yes

#### Please comment on your choice:

Ans: This helps in general aim to ensure harmonisation of auctioning rules

#### If so, should such entities be:

- [] Covered by the AML rules?
- [] Covered by MiFID?
- [] Covered by both?
- [] Other

0

#### Please comment on your choice:

Ans:

#### Question 32

Should the Regulation prohibit the multiplicity of pre-registration checks in the case of Member States auctioning jointly?

Yes

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Yes, this should be the case if rules are harmonised . Ideally, there must be mutual recognition of pre-qualification agents. Where Member States do not trust or recognise one another's pre-qualification processes, the Commission should step in to offer an EU-wide level pre-qualification option, thereby transcending any inter-Member State trust issues

#### Question 33

Do you agree that the level of collateral accepted in EUA auctions should be harmonised for all EU ETS auctions?

Yes

#### If so, how should they be harmonised?

Ans: This should reflect the secondary market conditions

*If not, why not?* Ans:

Question 34

Do you agree that the type of collateral accepted in EUA auctions should be harmonised for all EU ETS auctions?

Yes

*If so, how should they be harmonised?* Ans: This should reflect the secondary market conditions

*If not, why not?* Ans:

Do you agree that 100% collateral in electronic money transfer ought to be deposited upfront at a central counterparty or credit institution designated by the auctioneer to access spot auctions?

No

#### If not, why not?

Ans: Unecessary for spot auctions

What alternative(s) would you suggest? Please provide arguments to support your case: Ans:

#### **Question 36**

In case futures are auctioned, should a clearing house be involved to mitigate credit and market risks?

Yes

### If so, should specific rules – other than those currently used in exchange clearing houses – apply to:

- [X] the level of the initial margin;
- [X] the level of variation margin calls;
- [X] the daily frequency of variation margin call payments?

# If you have answered yes, please justify and elaborate on the rules that should apply and the mechanisms to implement them:

Ans: All of the above are standard requirements, so they should not need further elaboration

#### Question 37

### What are the most preferable payment and delivery procedures that should be implemented for auctioning EUAs?

- [] Payment before delivery.
- [X] Delivery versus payment.
- [] Both.

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Delivery and payment within 7 -10 days of auction date inline with common secondary market practice

#### Question 38

Irrespective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of time for payment and delivery to take place? Yes

#### If yes; what should it be?

Ans: Other 7 to 10 days in line with common secondary market practice

#### Question 39

# Should the Regulation provide any specific provisions for the handling of payment and delivery incidents or failures?

Yes

#### If yes, what should they be?

Ans: The resolution of delays/cancellations should be provided for in the same manner as in current secondary markets

#### **Question 40**

Should the Regulation provide for all matters that are central to the very creation, existence and termination or frustration of the transaction arising from the EUA auctions?

Yes

#### If not, why not?

Ans:

#### If so, are the matters enumerated below complete?

- The designation of the parties' to the trade.
- The characteristics of the auctioned product:
  - Nature: EUAs or EUAAs, trading period concerned.
  - Date of delivery: date at which winning bidders will receive the allowances on their registry

account

- Date of payment: date at which payment will be required from winning bidders.
- Lot size: number of allowances associated with one unit of the auctioned good.
- Events of `force majeure' and resulting consequences.
- Events of default by the auctioneer and/or the bidder and their consequences.
- Applicable remedies or penalties.
- The regime governing the judicial review of claims across the EU.

Ans: Yes

# *If not, what additional matters should be foreseen in the Regulation and why?* Ans:

#### Question 41

# Should the Regulation provide for rules on jurisdiction and the mutual recognition and enforcement of judgments?

Yes

#### If so, should these be:

- [] specific to the Regulation;
- [X] by reference to the Brussels I Regulation;
- [] by citing exceptions from the Brussels I Regulation;
- [] by citing additions to the Brussels I Regulation?

#### Please comment on your choice:

Ans:

#### If not, why not?

Ans:

#### Question 42 Which auction model is preferable?

- [X] Direct bidding?
- [] Indirect bidding?
- [] Both?

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: This is extremely important as it reduces the complexity and cost for participation of compliance buyers. Therefore Q43 to Q46 (in the Word document version of questionnaire) are not applicable

#### Question 43

If an indirect model is used, what share of the total volume of EU allowances could be auctioned through indirect bidding? Ans:

*Please provide arguments to support your case.* Ans:

#### Question 44

### If the primary participants model is used, what provisions would be desirable for mitigating disadvantages of restricting direct access:

[] Allow direct access to largest emitters, even if they trade only on their own account?

0

0

Disallow primary participants trading on their own account?
Impose strict separation of own-account trading from trading on behalf of indirect bidders?
Other

0

#### Question 45

# If the primary participants' model is used, what conflict of interest requirements should be imposed?

[] Separation of client registration and trading on behalf of clients from all own account trading activities.

[] Separation of collateral management, payment and delivery on behalf of clients from all own account trading activities.

[] Separation of anything else.

#### What obligations should apply to primary participants acting in EU-wide auctions as:

[] Intermediaries

[] Market makers

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans:

#### Question 47

#### Under what conditions should auctioning through exchanges be allowed:

- [] Only for futures auctions open to established members of the exchange?
- [] Also for spot auctions open to established members of the exchange?

[] Only when the exchange-based auction is open to non-established members on a non-discriminatory cost-effective basis?

[] Other.

0

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans:

#### **Question 48**

#### Should direct auctions be allowed through:

- 1) Third party service providers? Yes
- 2) Public authorities?

#### Please comment on your selection:

Ans: Auctioning through existing 3rd parties should be investigated to avoid "reinventing the wheel" and hence avoiding unnecessary cost and complexity

#### Question 49

**Do the general rules for auctioning EUAs suffice for ensuring full, fair and equitable access to allowances to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters?** Yes

*If not, why not?* Ans:

#### Question 50

Is allowing non-competitive bids necessary for ensuring access to allowances to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters in case of:

discriminatory-price auctions?

#### uniform-price auctions?

#### Question 51

### If non-competitive bids are provided for in spot auctions, what maximum share of allowances could be allocated through this route?

Ans: Other Please Specify: Not applicable

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Not applicable, since following the principle of 'little and often' auctions, minimum lot size of 1000 tonnes, attempts to use existing bodies, platforms and terms should be sufficient to allow reasonable access for SMEs

#### Question 52

#### What rule should apply for accessing non-competitive bids:

[] Participants should only be allowed to use one of the two bidding routes?

[] Non-competitive bids should be restricted to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters only?

[X] Other?

Please specify: Not applicable

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Not applicable, since following the principle of 'little and often' auctions, minimum lot size of 1000 tonnes, attempts to use existing bodies, platforms and terms should be sufficient to allow reasonable access for SMEs

#### **Question 53**

### What should be the maximum bid-size allowed for SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters submitting non-competitive bids? Ans: No Response

0

#### Question 54

Are there any other specific measures not mentioned in this consultation that may be necessary for ensuring full, fair and equitable access to allowances for SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters? No

*If so, please specify:* Ans:

#### Question 55

What should be the minimum period of time before the auction date for the release of the notice to auction?

EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

### Ans: Other

Please Specify: Three months

#### Please comment on your proposal.

Ans: Full transparency means that participants should have as much preparation time as possible

#### **Question 56**

### What should be the minimum period of time before the auction date for the submission of the intention to bid?

Ans: Other Please Specify: not applicable

#### Please comment on your proposal.

Ans: There is no need for pre-notification of intention to bid

#### Question 57

Are there any specific provisions that need to be highlighted in: Ans: No Response

#### Please specify what they are.

Ans:

#### **Question 58**

#### What information should be disclosed after the auction:

[X] Clearing price (if allowances are awarded on a uniform-price basis or in the case of non-competitive bids being allowed)?

[X] Average price (if allowances are awarded on a discriminatory-price basis)?

[X] Any relevant information to solve tied bids?

[X] Total volume of EUAs auctioned?

[X] Total volume of bids submitted distinguishing between competitive and noncompetitive bids (if applicable)?

[X] Total volume of allowances allocated?

[X] Anything else?

Please specify: any volumes to be carried forward and average successful bid size

#### Question 59

#### What should be the maximum delay for the announcement of auction results?

- [X] 5 minutes
- [] 15 minutes
- [] 30 minutes
- [] 1 hour
- [] Other.

#### Please comment on your proposal.

Ans: The closer to the event the better, as this will affect the secondary market, however whether 5 minutes is practicable in reality remains to be seen.

**Do you feel that any specific additional provisions should be adopted in the Regulation for the granting of fair and equal access to auction information?** No

If so, what may they be? Ans:

#### **Question 61**

**Should an auction monitor be appointed centrally to monitor all EU auctions?** Yes

If not, why not?

Ans:

#### Question 62

#### Do you agree that the Regulation should contain general principles on:

[X] the designation and mandate of the auction monitor; and

[X] cooperation between the auctioneer(s) and the auction monitor?

[] Neither

*If not, why not?* Ans:

Should these be supplemented by operational guidance, possibly through Commission guidelines? [Y/N] Yes

*If not, why not?* Ans:

#### **Question 63**

Is there a need for harmonised market abuse provisions in the Regulation to prevent insider dealing and market manipulation? Yes

*If not, why not?* Ans:

### Please comment on your choice outlining the provisions you deem necessary and stating the reasons why.

Ans: There should be a harmonised set of robust regulations to prevent market abuse on a level playing field basis

#### Should the Regulation provide for harmonised enforcement measures to sanction:

- [X] Non-compliance with its provisions?
- [X] Market abuse?

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans: There should be a harmonised set of robust regulations to prevent market abuse on a level playing field basis

#### Question 65

#### Should the enforcement measures include:

[] The suspension of the auctioneer(s) and/or bidders from the EU-wide auctions? *If so, for how long should such suspension last?* Ans:

#### [] Financial penalties?

#### If so, at what level should such penalties be fixed? Ans:

[] The power to address binding interim decisions to the auctioneer(s) and/or bidders to avert any urgent, imminent threat of breach of the Regulation with likely irreversible adverse consequences?

[] Anything else?

0

0

### *Please provide arguments to support your case.* Ans:

#### **Question 66**

#### Should such enforcement measures apply at:

- [X] EU level?
- [] National level?
- [] Both?

#### Please comment on your choice.

Ans: There should be a harmonised set of robust regulations to prevent market abuse on a level playing field basis

#### **Question 67**

#### Who should enforce compliance with the Regulation:

- [X] The auction monitor?
- [] The auctioneer?
- [X] A competent authority at EU level?
- [] A competent authority at national level?
- [] Other?

#### Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: The auction monitor should be sufficiently strong to enforce regulations and / or refer abuses to a higher competent EU authority

#### Question 68

# Which of the three approaches for an overall EU auction model do you prefer? Please rate the options below (1 being the most preferable, 3 being the least preferable)

[2] Limited number of coordinated auction processes.

[1] Full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process.

[3] The hybrid approach where different auction processes are cleared through a centralised system.

#### Please give arguments to support your case.

Ans: The model of full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process should aid market liquidity and simplicity

#### Question 69

# If a limited number of coordinated auction processes develops, what should be the maximum number?

Ans: 3

0

#### Please give arguments to support your case.

Ans: The model of full centralisation, based on a single EU-wide auction process should aid market liquidity and simplicity and is by far the most preferred option. If a limited number of coordinated auction processes was used then the preference is for as few as possible (including the UK as one)

#### Question 70

Is there a need for a transitional phase in order to develop gradually the optimal auction infrastructure?

No

*If so, what kind of transitional arrangements would you recommend?* Ans:

#### Question 71

Should the Regulation impose the following requirements for the auctioneer(s) and auction processes? [mark those that apply]:

#### Technical capabilities of auctioneers:

[X] capacity and experience to conduct auctions (or a specific part of the auction process) in an open, fair, transparent, cost-effective and non-discriminatory manner;

[X] appropriate investment in keeping the system up-to-date and in line with ongoing market and technological developments; and

[X] relevant professional licences, high ethical and quality control standards, compliance with financial and market integrity rules.

#### Integrity:

[X] guarantee confidentiality of bids, ability to manage market sensitive information in an appropriate manner;

[X] duly protected electronic systems and appropriate security procedures with regards to identification and data transmission;

- [X] appropriate rules on avoiding and monitoring conflicts of interest; and
- [X] full cooperation with the auction monitor.

#### Reliability:

- [X] robust organisation and IT systems;
- [X] adequate fallback measures in case of unexpected events;
- [X] minimisation of the risk of cancelling an individual auction once announced;
- [X] minimisation of the risk of failing functionalities (e.g. access to the bidding platform

for certain potential bidders); and

[X] fallback system in case of IT problems on the bidder side.

#### Accessibility and user friendliness:

[X] fair, concise, comprehensible and easily accessible information on how to participate in auctions;

[X] short and simple pre-registration forms;

- [X] clear and simple electronic tools;
- [X] (option of) accessibility of platforms through a dedicated internet interface;

[X] ability of the auction platform to connect to and communicate with proprietary trading systems used by bidders;

- [X] adequate and regular training (including mock auctions);
- [X] detailed user guidance on how to participate in the auction; and
- [X] ability to test identification and access to the auction.

# *Please elaborate if any of these requirements need not be included.* Ans:

Please elaborate what additional requirements would be desirable.

Ans:

#### Question 72

#### What provisions on administrative fees should the Regulation include?

- [X] General principles on proportionality, fairness and non-discrimination.
- [X] Rules on fee structure.
- [X] Rules on the amount of admissible fees.
- [X] Other?

Please specify: Oversight mechanism

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans: Fees should be recovered from auction proceeds. There should be an oversight mechanism to ensure efficiency of costs is maintained

#### Question 73

# Should there be provisions for public disclosure of material steps when introducing new (or adapted) auction processes?

Yes

### Should new (or adapted) auction process be notified to and authorised by the Commission before inclusion in the auction calendar? Yes

#### **Question 74**

# Which one of the following options is the most appropriate in case a Member State does not hold auctions (on time)?

[X] Auctions by an auctioneer authorised by the Commission.

[] Automatic addition of the delayed quantities to those foreseen for the next two or three auctions.

### *What other option would you envisage? Please specify:* Ans:

#### Question 75

Should a sanction apply to a Member State that does not auction allowances in line with its commitments?

Yes

#### If so, what form should that sanction take?

Ans: See answer for question Q74( in Word document version of questionnaire)which would mean that the MS would lose control of that auction

#### Question 76

As a general rule throughout the trading period, in your opinion, are early auctions necessary?

Yes

*If so, what should the profile of EUAA auctions be:* Ans: No Response

0

#### **Question 77**

**Do you think there is a need to auction EUAA futures?** No Response

*If so, why?* Ans:

#### **Question 78**

*What should be the optimal frequency and size of EUAA auctions:* Ans: No Response

0

*Please comment on your choice.* Ans:

#### Question 79

What would be your preferred timing for EUAA auctions: Ans: No Response

0

#### Question 80

Should any of the EUAA auction design elements be different compared to EUA auctions (see section 3)? No Response

*If so, please specify and comment on your choice.* Ans:

Question 81 Do you agree there is no need for a maximum bid-size? No Response

*If not, why not?* Ans:

#### Question 82

Is there any information regarding aircraft operators made available as part of the regulatory process to the competent authorities that could facilitate the KYC checks performed by the auctioneer(s)? No Response

If so, please describe what information is concerned and whether it should be referred to in the Regulation or any operational guidance published by the Commission. Ans:

#### Question 83

In your opinion, is there a specific need to allow for non-competitive bids in EUAA auctions? No Response

*Would this be the case even when applying a uniform clearing price format?* No Response

*Please provide arguments to support your case.* Ans:

# Do you agree that there is no need for any specific provisions for EUAA auctions as regards:

- [] Involvement of primary participants, exchanges or third party service providers?
- [] Guarantees and financial assurance?
- [] Payment and delivery?
- [] Information disclosure?
- [] Auction monitoring?
- [] Preventing anti-competitive behaviour and/or market manipulation?
- [] Enforcement?
- [] None of the above?

*If not, please describe in detail what rules would be needed and why.* Ans:

#### Question 85

Taking into account the smaller volume of EUAA allowances to be auctioned compared to EUAs, which of the three approaches for an overall EUAA auctioning model do you prefer? Please rate the options below (1 being the most preferable, 3 being the least preferable)

[2] Limited number of coordinated auction processes.

[1] Full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process.

[3] Hybrid approach where different auction processes are cleared through a centralised system.

#### **Does your choice differ from the approach preferred for EUAs?** No

#### Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans:

#### **Question 86**

# Do you agree that there is no need for any specific provisions for EUAA auctions as regards:

- [] Requirements for the auctioneer(s) and auction processes?
- [] Administrative fees?
- [] Rules to ensure appropriate and timely preparation of the auctions?
- [] None of the above?

### If not, please describe in detail what rules would be needed and why.

Ans: