EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

This document contains the responses for the survey. The survey contains 4 initial questions (A-D) to identify respondents and 86 questions for which responses will be made public. Contact details provided in Question C, are not made public and therefore are not in this document.

Period of consultation

From 3 June 2009 to 3 August 2009 inclusive

Specific privacy statement

"Received contributions, together with the identity of the contributor, will be published on the Internet, unless the contributor objects to publication of his or her personal data on the grounds that such publication would harm his or her legitimate interests. In such cases the contribution may be published in an anonymous form. Otherwise, the contribution will not be published nor will, in principle, its content be taken into account. Responses for questions deemed confidential in the consultation will not be available for view on the website irrespective of contributor objecting or not."

Thank you

Note: Zero's on the right hand side of page reflect Non-Applicable questions in the survey response.

Section 1: Questions to categorize participants

Question A

Name of Company/Organization: Svensk Energi - Swedenergy AB

Principal nature of activities: Swedenergy is a member organisation representing companies involved in distribution, generation and trading of electricity in Sweden, which promotes the interests of its member companies.

Number of employees in 2008:

World-wide: Europe-wide: 60

Turnover in 2008:

World-wide:

Europe-wide: 130000

Question B

Type of respondent:

Other

Non-governmental organisation

Question C

Contact details will not be made public.

Question D

Do you object to publication of your personal data because it would harm your legitimate interests?

No

If so, please provide an explanation of the legitimate interests that you think will be harmed:

Ans:

Are any of your responses confidential?

No

If so, please indicate which ones and provide an explanation:

Ans:

Section 2: Survey questions (86)

Question 1

As a general rule throughout the trading period, in your opinion, are early auctions necessary?

Yes

If so, what should the profile of EUA auctions be?

Ans: 20-30% in year n-2, 30-35% in year n-1, remainder in year n

Question 2

Do you think there is a need to auction futures?

Yes

If so, why?

Ans: It is desirable to auction futures in addition to spot volumes so that market participants can hedge against prices risks without creating unnecessary requirements on up-fron payment.

Question 3

What share of allowances should be auctioned spot and what share should be auctioned as futures for each year?

	SPOT	FUTURES
Year n	50	
Year n-1		30
Year n-2		20

Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: To be meaningful, the duration of future contract should be of certain length. A split between spot and future as above would allow sufficient hedging possibilities.

NB: The answer to this question will be published as part of the public consultation. Please do not submit confidential information as part of your answer to this question.

Question 4

Should the common maturity date used in futures auctions be in December (so the maturity date would be December in year n, both when auctioning in year n-2 as when auctioning in year n-1)?

Yes

If not, please suggest alternative maturity dates and provide evidence to support your view. Ans: **Question 5** For spot auctions: What should be the optimum frequency of auctions? Ans: No Response 0 What should be the minimum frequency of auctions? Ans: Monthly 0 What should be the maximum frequency of auctions? Ans: Weekly 0 Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans: A reasonable balance between the need of a more or less continous release on the market in order to minimise disturbances in the market and transaction/administrative costs is needed. **Question 6** For spot auctions, what should be the: If deemed appropriate, please indicate a range and/or distribution over different sizes. Optimum auction size? Ans: Minimum auction size? Ans: Maximum auction size? Ans:

Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: The size to be auctioned must be decidedd on the basis of the frequency of auctions and the total availability of EUAs to be auctioned. The minimum auction size might only be acheived by Member States organising common auctions.

Question 7

For futures auctions: What should be the optimum frequency of auctions? Ans: No Response
What should be the minimum frequency of auctions? Ans: Monthly
What should be the maximum frequency of auctions? Ans: Weekly
Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans: See question 5 (spot auction).
Question 8 For futures auctions, what should be the: If deemed appropriate, please indicate a range and/or distribution over different sizes.
Optimum auction size? Ans:
Minimum auction size? Ans:
Maximum auction size? Ans:
Please provide evidence to support your case. Ans: See question 6 (spot auctions)
Question 9 Should volumes of spot allowances be auctioned evenly throughout the year? Yes
If not, how should volumes be distributed? (more than one answer possible) Please specify:
[] A larger proportion in the first 4 months of the year
[] A larger proportion in December[] A smaller proportion in July and August[] Other.
Ougstion 10

0

0

0

In case futures are auctioned, should the volumes for spot and futures auctions be spread over the year in the same manner?

 If not, how should they differ? (more than one answer possible) No futures auctions less than six months before the maturity date. A larger proportion in December. A smaller proportion in July and August. Otherwise?
Question 11 Does the Regulation need to have provisions to avoid holding auctions during a short period of time before the surrendering date (30 April each year)? No
If yes, how long should this period be: Ans: No Response
In case futures are auctioned, should there be similar provisions with respect to the period immediately prior to the maturity date? No Response
If yes, how long should this period be: Ans: No Response
Question 12 Which dates should be avoided? Please specify the dates you have in mind in your answers.
[X] Public holidays common in most Member States? Ans: All public holidays in MS
[] Days where important relevant economic data is released? Ans:
[X] Days where emissions data are released? Ans:
[] Other? Ans:
Question 13 Is a harmonised 10-12 hrs CET auction slot desirable? Yes
If not, what alternative(s) would you suggest?

Ans:

How long in advance should each element of the calendar be determined? Please provide arguments to support your case.

Annual volumes to be auctioned:

more years in advance

Ans: This should be known from the start, before the trading period starts. It should be stated in the regulation.

Distribution of annual volumes over spot and futures (if applicable):

2 years in advance

Ans:

Dates of individual auctions:

more years in advance

Ans: This should be known from the start, before the trading period starts. It should be stated in the regulation.

Volume and product type for individual auctions:

2 years in advance

Ans:

Each auctioneer carrying out auction process (if more than one):

2 years in advance

Ans:

Question 15

What should be the volume of allowances to be auctioned in 2011 and 2012?

in 2011: 20% of the 2013 volume and ____% of the 2014 volume in 2012: 30% of the 2013 volume and 20% of the 2014 volume

Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: This is based on the distribution key proposed in question 3. Auctions need to take place early, by mid 2011 for 2013/2014 allowances, as electricity companies need to hedge their positions ahead of time. It is common that generators sell out 60-80% of their electricity production a year in advance and som of the production even two and three years in advance. Early auctions will give the market confidence that a system is in place and to be aligned with the hedging strategy of players, who need to purchase EUAs tow to three years ahead. Failure to start auctions promptly could lead to EUA price spikes as the demand for phase 2 allowances increases significantly. As a consequence, customers will face higher electricity prices in 2013/2014. Price discovery is well promoted in the trade in futures.

What percentage of these shares should be auctioned as futures?

in 2011: 100% of the 2013 share and ____% of the 2014 share in 2012: 100% of the 2013 share and 100% of the 2014 share

Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: See answer above.

Question 16

What should be the rule with respect to allowances not auctioned due to force majeure?

Ans: They should automatically be added to the next auction on the calendar, irrespective of the auction process.

0

Question 17

Is 1,000 allowances the most appropriate lot size?

Yes

If not, why not?

Ans:

Question 18

Is a single-round sealed-bid auction the most appropriate auction format for auctioning EU allowances?

Yes

If not, please comment on your alternative proposal?

Ans:

Question 19

What is the most appropriate pricing rule for the auctioning of EU allowances? Ans: Uniform-pricing.

Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans: Uniform-pricing is most likely to promote equal treatment of all participants and avoid strategic behaviour. The EU ETS will be perceived as more fair and make participation more understandable and transparent.

The design of auction should be built as single round auctions with multiple bidding allowed. Single round auction is preferred beacause it is simpler and have less administrative burden. Within the single round auction it should be possible with multiple bidding i.e. to allow bidders to set different volumes of allowances they are willing to buy to a given price. This setup works very well in the Nordic power market and we believe that it successfully can be transferred to the market for allowances. the sealed-bid auction design contributes to protect bidders anonymity and is probably best to prevent unduly cooperation with the purpose to manipulate the price formation.

Should the rules for solving ties in the Regulation be:

Ans: pro-rata re-scaling of bids

Please comment on your choice.

Ans: This is the most fair solution. The auction process should be free from randomising and gaming.

Question 21

Should a reserve price apply?

No

Question 22

In case a reserve price would apply, should the methodology/formula for calculating it be kept secret?

No Response

0

Question 23

Is a maximum bid-size per single entity desirable in a Uniform-price auction?

Please comment on your choice.

Ans: The EU ETS is large enough to avoid the risk of collusion and hoarding. Further, such a rule would be rather easy to circumvent.

*Is a maximum bid-size per single entity desirable in a discriminatory-price auction?*No Response

Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

Question 24

If so, what is the desirable bid-size limit (as a percentage of the volume of allowances auctioned per auction):

Ans: No Response

_

Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

Question 25

In case only one of the two following options would be chosen, to limit the risk of market manipulation or collusion, which one would be preferable?

Ans: A maximum bid-size per single entity?

Please comment on your choice.

Ans: See question 24. Price discriminatory auctions are undesired. These could make auctions more unattractive for small market participants which feel they do not have complete market information. However, there is no need for these kinds of measures when the secondary market is functioning.

Question	<u> 26</u>	
Are the f	ollowing pre-registration requirements appropriate and adequate?	
ldentity:		
[X]	Natural or legal person;	
	Name, address, whether publicly listed, whether licensed and supervised under the s; membership of a professional association; membership of a chamber of commerce or tax number;	;
[X] [X]	Contact details of authorised representatives and proof of authorisation; and CITL-Registry account details.	
[]	Anything else?	
		0
Declarati	ions with respect to the past 5 years on absence of:	
[X] principals	Indictment or conviction of serious crimes: check corporate officers, directors, members or partners;	
[X]	Infringement of the rules of any regulated or unregulated market;	
[X]	Permits to conduct business being revoked or suspended;	
[X]	Infringement of procurement rules; and	
[X]	Infringement of disclosure of confidential information.	
	Anything else?	
		0
Declarati	ions and submission of documentation relating to:	
[X]	Proof of identity;	
[X]	Type of business;	

[V]	Droof of identity
[X]	Proof of identity;
[X]	Type of business;
[X]	Participation in EU ETS or not;
[X]	EU ETS registered installations, if any;
[X]	Bank account contact details;
[]	Intended auctioning activity;
[X]	Whether bidding on own account or on behalf of another beneficial owner;
[X]	Corporate and business affiliations;
[X]	Creditworthiness;
[X]	Collateral; and
[X]	Whether it carries out transactions subject to VAT or transactions exempted from
VAT.	
[]	Anything else?

0

Do you agree that the pre-registration requirements for admittance to EU auctions should be harmonised throughout the EU?

Yes

Please comment on your choice.

Ans: If there is more than one auction scheme in the EU it is necessary to harmonise these requirements in order to avoid distortion of the market and to keep administrative costs low and to allow all market participants to have equal possibilities to participate.

Question 28

Should the amount of information to be supplied in order to satisfy the pre-regis requirements for admittance to EU auctions depend on the:		
[]	means of establishing the trading relationship;	
[]	identity of bidder;	
[]	whether auctioning spot or futures;	

[]	size of bid;	
[]	means of payment and delivery;	
[]	anything else?	
		0

If so, what should the differences be?

Ans:

Question 29

Should the bidder pre-registration requirements under the Regulation apply in the same manner irrespective of whether or not the auctioneer is covered by the MiFID or AML rules?

Yes

0

Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans:

Question 30

Do you agree that the auctioneer(s) should be allowed to rely on pre-registration checks carried out by reliable third parties including:

Yes

[]	Other auctioneers?
[]	Credit and/or financial institutions?
[]	Other

0

Please comment on your choice.

Ans: Involvement of third parties in pre-registration checks is common practice today and should be allowed.

Question 31

In order to facilitate bidder pre-registration in their home country, should the auctioneer(s) be allowed to provide for pre-registration by potential bidders in other (or all) Member States than the auctioneer's home country e.g. by outsourcing this to a reliable third party?

Yes

Please comment on your choice:

Ans: A common pre-registration which enables participation in all auctioning schemes is preferred.

If so,	should such entities be:	
[]	Covered by the AML rules?	
[]	Covered by MiFID?	
[]	Covered by both?	
[]	Other	
		0
Pleas	e comment on your choice:	
Ans:		

Question 32

Should the Regulation prohibit the multiplicity of pre-registration checks in the case of Member States auctioning jointly?

Yes

Please comment on your choice.

Ans: It seems wise in order to minimise administrative burden.

Question 33

Do you agree that the level of collateral accepted in EUA auctions should be harmonised for all EU ETS auctions?

Yes

If so, how should they be harmonised?

Ans: It should be harmonised according to best practice in the market and not exceed current collaterals as they apply at carbon exchanges.

If not, why not?

Ans:

Question 34

Do you agree that the type of collateral accepted in EUA auctions should be harmonised for all EU ETS auctions?

Yes

If so, how should they be harmonised? Ans: See question 33 above.
If not, why not? Ans:
Question 35
Do you agree that 100% collateral in electronic money transfer ought to be deposited up front at a central counterparty or credit institution designated by the auctioneer to access spot auctions? No
If not, why not?
Ans: It would be an administrative hinder which would restrict participation in spot auctions. The pre-registration should be sufficient.
What alternative(s) would you suggest? Please provide arguments to support your case Ans:
Question 36
In case futures are auctioned, should a clearing house be involved to mitigate credit and market risks?
No Response
If so, should specific rules – other than those currently used in exchange clearing houses – apply to:
[] the level of the initial margin;
[] the level of variation margin calls;
[] the daily frequency of variation margin call payments?
If you have answered yes, please justify and elaborate on the rules that should apply an the mechanisms to implement them: Ans:
Question 27
Question 37 What are the most preferable payment and delivery procedures that should be implemented for auctioning EUAs?
[] Payment before delivery.
[] Delivery versus payment.
[] Both.
Please comment on your choice. Ans:
Question 38
Irrespective of the payment procedure, should the Regulation fix a maximum delay of time for payment and delivery to take place?

No Response

If yes; what should it be?

Ans: No Response

0

Question 39

Should the Regulation provide any specific provisions for the handling of payment and delivery incidents or failures?

Yes

If yes, what should they be?

Ans:

Question 40

Should the Regulation provide for all matters that are central to the very creation, existence and termination or frustration of the transaction arising from the EUA auctions?

Yes

If not, why not?

Ans:

If so, are the matters enumerated below complete?

- The designation of the parties' to the trade.
- The characteristics of the auctioned product:
 - Nature: EUAs or EUAAs, trading period concerned.
- o Date of delivery: date at which winning bidders will receive the allowances on their registry account
 - O Date of payment: date at which payment will be required from winning bidders.
 - Lot size: number of allowances associated with one unit of the auctioned good.
- Events of `force majeure' and resulting consequences.
- Events of default by the auctioneer and/or the bidder and their consequences.
- Applicable remedies or penalties.
- The regime governing the judicial review of claims across the EU.

Ans: No Response

If not, what additional matters should be foreseen in the Regulation and why? Ans:

Question 41

Should the Regulation provide for rules on jurisdiction and the mutual recognition and enforcement of judgments?

Yes

If so, should these be	f so,	shoul	d the	ese	be:
------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-----

[]	specific to the Regulation;
[X]	by reference to the Brussels I Regulation;
[]	by citing exceptions from the Brussels I Regulation;
[]	by citing additions to the Brussels I Regulation?

Please comment on your choice: Ans:	
If not, why not? Ans:	
<pre>Question 42 Which auction model is preferable? [] Direct bidding? [] Indirect bidding? [X] Both?</pre>	
Please comment on your choice.	
Ans: There is no need to prescribe which routes market participants should take to enter EU ETS auctions. The use of intermediaries will emerge automatically.	
Question 43 If an indirect model is used, what share of the total volume of EU allowances could be auctioned through indirect bidding? Ans:	
Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans:	
Question 44 If the primary participants model is used, what provisions would be desirable for mitigating disadvantages of restricting direct access:	
[] Allow direct access to largest emitters, even if they trade only on their own account?	0
	0
 Disallow primary participants trading on their own account? Impose strict separation of own-account trading from trading on behalf of indirect bidders? Other 	
Please specify: No discrimination between large and small emitters should be done.	
Question 45 If the primary participants' model is used, what conflict of interest requirements should be imposed?	'
Separation of client registration and trading on behalf of clients from all own account trading activities.	
Separation of collateral management, payment and delivery on behalf of clients from all own account trading activities. Separation of anything else.	1
[] Coparation of anything clos.	0

Question 46
What obligations should apply to primary participants acting in EU-wide auctions as:
[] Intermediaries
[] Market makers
Please provide arguments to support your case.
Ans:
Question 47
Under what conditions should auctioning through exchanges be allowed:
Only for futures auctions open to established members of the exchange?
[] Also for spot auctions open to established members of the exchange?
Only when the exchange-based auction is open to non-established members on a non-discriminatory cost-effective basis?
[X] Other.
Please specify: Auctioning through exchanges is a preferred option but a simplified access in comparison with memberships at exchanges might be considered.
Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans:
Question 48
Should direct auctions be allowed through:
Third party service providers? Yes
2) Public authorities?
Please comment on your selection:
Ans: It's preferable that auctions take place at existing market places (exchanges) which are
non-biased.
Question 49
Do the general rules for auctioning EUAs suffice for ensuring full, fair and equitable access to allowances to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters?
Yes
If not, why not?
Ans:

Is allowing non-competitive bids necessary for ensuring access to allowances to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters in case of:

EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions

discriminatory-price auctions?

uniform-price auctions?

Question 51

If non-competitive bids are provided for in spot auctions, what maximum share of allowances could be allocated through this route?

Ans: Other

Please Specify: 0%

Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

Question 52

What rule should apply for accessing non-competitive bids:

[] Participants should only be allowed to use one of the two bidding routes?
[] Non-competitive bids should be restricted to SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters only?

[X] Other? Please specify: No

Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

Question 53

What should be the maximum bid-size allowed for SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters submitting non-competitive bids?

Ans: No Response

0

Question 54

Are there any other specific measures not mentioned in this consultation that may be necessary for ensuring full, fair and equitable access to allowances for SMEs covered by the EU ETS and small emitters?

No

If so, please specify:

Ans:

Question 55

What should be the minimum period of time before the auction date for the release of the notice to auction?

Ans: Other

Please Specify: The date of auctions should be scheduled and announced already before the trading period commences. This information should be included in the regulation.

Please comment on your proposal.

Ans:

Question 56

What should be the minimum period of time before the auction date for the submission of the intention to bid?

Ans: No Response

0

Please comment on your proposal.

Ans:

Question 57

Are there any specific provisions that need to be highlighted in:

Ans: The notice to auction?

Please specify what they are.

Ans: Dates, volumes

Question 58

What information should be disclosed after the auction:

- [X] Clearing price (if allowances are awarded on a uniform-price basis or in the case of non-competitive bids being allowed)?
- [] Average price (if allowances are awarded on a discriminatory-price basis)?
- [X] Any relevant information to solve tied bids?
- [X] Total volume of EUAs auctioned?
- [X] Total volume of bids submitted distinguishing between competitive and non-competitive bids (if applicable)?
- [X] Total volume of allowances allocated?
- [X] Anything else?

Please specify: Unsold volume, number of participants

Question 59

What should be the maximum delay for the announcement of auction results?

[]	5 minutes
[X]	15 minutes
[]	30 minutes
[]	1 hour
[]	Other.

0

Please comment on your proposal.

Ans: 5 or 15 minutes is not the most important issue. It is very important that information is released equal, simultaneous and timely to all market participants.

Do you feel that any specific additional provisions should be adopted in the Regulation for the granting of fair and equal access to auction information?

Yes

If so, what may they be?

Ans: Penalties for non-compliance with auction calendar, public access to auctioning results in all official EU languages.

Question 61

Should an auction monitor be appointed centrally to monitor all EU auctions?

If not, why not?

Ans:

Question 62

Do you agree that the Regulation should contain general principles on:

[X] the designation and mandate of the auction monitor; and

[X] cooperation between the auctioneer(s) and the auction monitor?

[] Neither

If not, why not?

Ans:

Should these be supplemented by operational guidance, possibly through Commission guidelines? [Y/N]

Yes

If not, why not?

Ans:

Question 63

Is there a need for harmonised market abuse provisions in the Regulation to prevent insider dealing and market manipulation?

No

If not, why not?

Ans: See question above.

Please comment on your choice outlining the provisions you deem necessary and stating the reasons why.

Ans: To some extent it depends on the chosen auction design. Auctions should be designed to prevent market abuse. The existing regulation regarding insider dealing seems appropriate for prevention purposes.

Question 64	
Should the Regulation provide for harmonised enforcement measures to sanction:	
[X] Non-compliance with its provisions?	
[] Market abuse?	
Please provide arguments to support your case.	
Ans: Harmonisation is important, but market abuse seems difficutlt to capture only within the	iis
regulation. It might be necessary to refer to a wider legislative framework.	
Question 65	
Should the enforcement measures include:	
[] The suspension of the auctioneer(s) and/or bidders from the EU-wide auctions?	
If so, for how long should such suspension last?	
Ans:	
[] Financial penalties?	
If so, at what level should such penalties be fixed?	
Ans: The power to address binding interim decisions to the auctioneer(s) and/or bidde	rs to
avert any urgent, imminent threat of breach of the Regulation with likely irreversible advers	
consequences?	
[] Anything else?	
	0
Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans:	
Question 66 Should such enforcement measures apply at:	
[] EU level?	
[] National level? [X] Both?	
[X] Both?	
Please comment on your choice.	
Ans: Eu-level is relevant but if national schemes are already in place it is possible that som provisions could apply at national level.	е
Question 67	
Who should enforce compliance with the Regulation:	
[] The auction monitor?	
The auctioneer?	
[] A competent authority at EU level?	
[X] A competent authority at national level?	
[] Other?	_
	0

Please provide evidence to support your case.

Ans: A competent national authority should be responsible.

Which of the three approaches for an overall EU auction model do you prefer? Please rate the options below (1 being the most preferable, 3 being the least preferable)

- [2] Limited number of coordinated auction processes.
- [1] Full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process.
- [3] The hybrid approach where different auction processes are cleared through a centralised system.

Please give arguments to support your case.

Ans: The more centralised the auctions are the more cost-efficient and the less risk of discriminatory behaviour from MS.

Question 69

If a limited number of coordinated auction processes develops, what should be the maximum number?

Ans: No Response

0

Please give arguments to support your case.

Ans:

Question 70

Is there a need for a transitional phase in order to develop gradually the optimal auction infrastructure?

Yes

If so, what kind of transitional arrangements would you recommend?

Ans: A revision clause in the regulation in order to correct shortcomings.

Question 71

Should the Regulation impose the following requirements for the auctioneer(s) and auction processes? [mark those that apply]:

Technical capabilities of auctioneers:

- [X] capacity and experience to conduct auctions (or a specific part of the auction process) in an open, fair, transparent, cost-effective and non-discriminatory manner;
- [X] appropriate investment in keeping the system up-to-date and in line with ongoing market and technological developments; and
- [X] relevant professional licences, high ethical and quality control standards, compliance with financial and market integrity rules.

Integrity:

- [X] guarantee confidentiality of bids, ability to manage market sensitive information in an appropriate manner;
- [X] duly protected electronic systems and appropriate security procedures with regards to identification and data transmission;
- [X] appropriate rules on avoiding and monitoring conflicts of interest; and

[X] full cooperation with the auction monitor. Reliability: [X] robust organisation and IT systems; [X] adequate fallback measures in case of unexpected events; [X] minimisation of the risk of cancelling an individual auction once announced; [X] minimisation of the risk of failing functionalities (e.g. access to the bidding platform for certain potential bidders); and [X] fallback system in case of IT problems on the bidder side. Accessibility and user friendliness: [X] fair, concise, comprehensible and easily accessible information on how to participate in auctions: [X] short and simple pre-registration forms; [X] clear and simple electronic tools; (option of) accessibility of platforms through a dedicated internet interface; [X] [X] ability of the auction platform to connect to and communicate with proprietary trading systems used by bidders; [X] adequate and regular training (including mock auctions); [X] detailed user guidance on how to participate in the auction; and ability to test identification and access to the auction. [X] Please elaborate if any of these requirements need not be included. Ans: Please elaborate what additional requirements would be desirable. **Question 72** What provisions on administrative fees should the Regulation include? General principles on proportionality, fairness and non-discrimination. [] Rules on fee structure. Rules on the amount of admissible fees. [] [] Other? 0 Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans: **Question 73** Should there be provisions for public disclosure of material steps when introducing new

(or adapted) auction processes?

Yes

Should new (or adapted) auction process be notified to and authorised by the Commission before inclusion in the auction calendar?

Yes

Which one of the following options is the most appropriate in case a Member State does not hold auctions (on time)?

[X] Auctions by an auctioneer authorised by the Commission.

[] Automatic addition of the delayed quantities to those foreseen for the next two or three auctions.

What other option would you envisage? Please specify:

Ans: EUAs withdrawn from the MS and distributed to other MS.

Question 75

Should a sanction apply to a Member State that does not auction allowances in line with its commitments?

Yes

If so, what form should that sanction take?

Ans: EUA:s withdrawn from the MS and distributed to other MS.

Question 76

As a general rule throughout the trading period, in your opinion, are early auctions necessary?

Yes

If so, what should the profile of EUAA auctions be:

Ans: No Response

0

Question 77

Do you think there is a need to auction EUAA futures?

No Response

If so, why?

Ans:

Question 78

What should be the optimal frequency and size of EUAA auctions:

Ans: No Response

0

Please comment on your choice.

Ans:

Question 79

What would be your preferred timing for EUAA auctions:

Ans: No Response

0

Question 80

Should any of the EUAA auction design elements be different compared to EUA auctions (see section 3)?

No Response

If so, please specify and comment on your choice.

Ans:

Question 81

Do you agree there is no need for a maximum bid-size?

No Response

If not, why not?

Ans:

Question 82

Is there any information regarding aircraft operators made available as part of the regulatory process to the competent authorities that could facilitate the KYC checks performed by the auctioneer(s)?

No Response

If so, please describe what information is concerned and whether it should be referred to in the Regulation or any operational guidance published by the Commission.

Ans:

Question 83

In your opinion, is there a specific need to allow for non-competitive bids in EUAA auctions?

No Response

Would this be the case even when applying a uniform clearing price format?

No Response

Please provide arguments to support your case.

Ans:

Question 84

Do you agree that there is no need for any specific provisions for EUAA auctions as regards:

•	
[]	Involvement of primary participants, exchanges or third party service providers?
[]	Guarantees and financial assurance?
[]	Payment and delivery?
[]	Information disclosure?
[]	Auction monitoring?

EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) – Consultation on design and organisation of emissions allowance auctions		
Preventing anti-competitive behaviour and/or market manipulation?Enforcement?None of the above?		
If not, please describe in detail what rules would be needed and why. Ans:		
Question 85 Taking into account the smaller volume of EUAA allowances to be auctioned compared to EUAs, which of the three approaches for an overall EUAA auctioning model do you prefer? Please rate the options below (1 being the most preferable, 3 being the least preferable)		
 [2] Limited number of coordinated auction processes. [1] Full centralisation based on a single EU-wide auction process. [3] Hybrid approach where different auction processes are cleared through a centralised system. 		
Does your choice differ from the approach preferred for EUAs? No		
Please provide arguments to support your case. Ans:		
Question 86		
Do you agree that there is no need for any specific provisions for EUAA auctions as regards:		
[] Requirements for the auctioneer(s) and auction processes?[] Administrative fees?		
[] Rules to ensure appropriate and timely preparation of the auctions? [] None of the above?		
If not, please describe in detail what rules would be needed and why. Ans:		